Venting Atmospheric and Low-pressure Storage Tanks

ANSI/API STANDARD 2000
SIXTH EDITION, NOVEMBER 2009

ISO 28300:2008 (Identical), Petroleum, petrochemical and natural gas industries—Venting of atmospheric and low-pressure storage tanks

Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Classified areas may vary depending on the location, conditions, equipment, and substances involved in any given situation. Users of this standard should consult with the appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

Users of this standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

API is not undertaking to meet the duties of employers, manufacturers, or suppliers to warn and properly train and equip their employees, and others exposed, concerning health and safety risks and precautions, nor undertaking their obligations to comply with authorities having jurisdiction.

Information concerning safety and health risks and proper precautions with respect to particular materials and conditions should be obtained from the employer, the manufacturer or supplier of that material, or the material safety datasheet.

Where applicable, authorities having jurisdiction should be consulted.

Work sites and equipment operations may differ. Users are solely responsible for assessing their specific equipment and premises in determining the appropriateness of applying the publication. At all times users should employ sound business, scientific, engineering, and judgment safety when using this publication.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

API Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a standard, “shall” denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the specification.

Should: As used in a standard, “should” denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the specification.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Contents

Page

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 28300 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 67, *Materials, equipment and offshore structures for petroleum, petrochemical and natural gas industries*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Processing equipment and systems*.

Introduction

This International Standard was developed from the 5th edition of API Std 2000 and EN 14015:2005, with the intent that the 6th edition of API Std 2000 be identical to this International Standard.

This International Standard has been developed from the accumulated knowledge and experience of qualified engineers of the oil, petroleum, petrochemical, chemical and general bulk liquid storage industry.

Engineering studies of a particular tank can indicate that the appropriate venting capacity for the tank is not the venting capacity estimated in accordance with this International Standard. The many variables associated with tank-venting requirements make it impractical to set forth definite, simple rules that are applicable to all locations and conditions.

In this International Standard, where practical, US Customary (USC) units are included in parentheses or in separate tables, for information.

Petroleum, petrochemical and natural gas industries — Venting of atmospheric and low-pressure storage tanks

1 Scope

This International Standard covers the normal and emergency vapour venting requirements for aboveground liquid petroleum or petroleum products storage tanks and aboveground and underground refrigerated storage tanks, designed for operation at pressures from full vacuum through 103,4 kPa (ga) [15 psig]. Discussed in this International Standard are the causes of overpressure and vacuum; determination of venting requirements; means of venting; selection, and installation of venting devices; and testing and marking of relief devices.

This International Standard is intended for tanks containing petroleum and petroleum products but it can also be applied to tanks containing other liquids; however, it is necessary to use sound engineering analysis and judgment whenever this International Standard is applied to other liquids.

This International Standard does not apply to external floating-roof tanks.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 4126-4, *Safety devices for protection against excessive pressure — Part 4: Pilot operated safety valves*

ISO 16852, *Flame arresters — Performance requirements, test methods and limits for use*

ISO 23251, *Petroleum, petrochemical and natural gas industries — Pressure-relieving and depressuring systems*

IEC 60079-10, *Electrical apparatus for explosive gas atmospheres — Part 10: Classification of hazardous areas*

DIN 4119[1)] (all parts), *Above-ground cylindrical flat-bottom tank structures of metallic materials*

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

For the purposes of this document, the following terms, definitions and abbreviated terms apply.

3.1
accumulation
pressure increase over the maximum allowable working pressure or design pressure of the vessel allowed during discharge through the pressure-relief device

NOTE Accumulation is expressed in units of pressure or as a percentage of MAWP or design pressure. Maximum allowable accumulations are established by pressure-design codes for emergency operating and fire contingencies.

1) Deutsches Institut für Normung (DIN), Burggrafenstrasse 6, Berlin, Germany D-10787.

2) American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20005-4070, USA.

3.2
adjusted set pressure
inlet static pressure at which a pressure-relief valve is adjusted to open on the test stand

See **set pressure** (3.19).

NOTE 1 Adjusted set pressure is equivalent to set pressure for direct-mounted end-of-line installations.

NOTE 2 The adjusted set pressure includes corrections for service conditions of superimposed back-pressure.

3.3
British thermal unit
Btu
unit of heat that increases the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit

3.4
emergency venting
venting required when an abnormal condition, such as ruptured internal heating coils or an external fire, exists either inside or outside a tank

3.5
non-refrigerated tank
container that stores material in a liquid state without the aid of refrigeration, either by evaporation of the tank contents or by a circulating refrigeration system

NOTE Generally, the storage temperature is close to, or higher than, ambient temperature.

3.6
normal cubic metres per hour
Nm^3/h
SI unit for volumetric flow rate of air or gas at a temperature of 0 °C and pressure of 101,3 kPa, expressed in cubic metres per hour

3.7
normal venting
venting required because of operational requirements or atmospheric changes

3.8
overpressure
pressure increase at the PV valve inlet above the set pressure, when the PV valve is relieving

NOTE 1 Overpressure is expressed in pressure units or as a percentage of the set pressure.

NOTE 2 The value or magnitude of the overpressure is equal to the value or magnitude of the accumulation when the valve is set at the maximum allowable working pressure or design pressure and the inlet piping losses are zero.

3.9
petroleum
crude oil

3.10
petroleum products
hydrocarbon materials or other products derived from crude oil

3.11
PV valve
weight-loaded, pilot-operated, or spring-loaded valve, used to relieve excess pressure and/or vacuum that has developed in a tank

3.12
rated relieving capacity
flow capacity of a relief device expressed in terms of air flow at standard or normal conditions at a designated pressure or vacuum

NOTE Rated relieving capacity is expressed in SCFH or Nm^3/h.

3.13
refrigerated tank
container that stores liquid at a temperature below atmospheric temperature with or without the aid of refrigeration, either by evaporation of the tank contents or by a circulating refrigeration system

3.14
relief device
device used to relieve excess pressure and/or vacuum that has developed in a tank

3.15
relieving pressure
pressure at the inlet of a relief device when the fluid is flowing at the required relieving capacity

3.16
required flow capacity
flow through a relief device required to prevent excessive pressure or vacuum in a tank under the most severe operating or emergency conditions

3.17
rollover
uncontrolled mass movement of stored liquid, correcting an unstable state of stratified liquids of different densities and resulting in a significant evolution of product vapour

3.18
standard cubic feet per hour
SCFH
USC unit for volumetric flow rate of air or gas (same as free air or free gas) at a temperature of 15,6 °C (60 °F) and an absolute pressure of 101,3 kPa (14,7 psi), expressed in cubic feet per hour

3.19
set pressure
gauge pressure at the device inlet at which the relief device is set to start opening under service conditions

3.20
thermal inbreathing
movement of air or blanketing gas into a tank when vapours in the tank contract or condense as a result of weather changes (e.g. a decrease in atmospheric temperature)

3.21
thermal out-breathing
movement of vapours out of a tank when vapours in the tank expand and liquid in the tank vapourizes as a result of weather changes (e.g. an increase in atmospheric temperature)

3.22
wetted area
surface area of a tank exposed to liquid on the interior and heat from a fire on the exterior

4 Non-refrigerated aboveground tanks

4.1 General

Clause 4 covers the causes of overpressure or vacuum; determination of venting requirements; means of venting; selection and installation of venting devices.

4.2 Causes of overpressure or vacuum

4.2.1 General

When determining the possible causes of overpressure or vacuum in a tank, consider the following:

a) liquid movement into or out of the tank;

b) tank breathing due to weather changes (e.g. pressure and temperature changes);

c) fire exposure;

d) other circumstances resulting from equipment failures and operating errors.

There can be additional circumstances that should be considered but are not included in this International Standard.

4.2.2 Liquid movement into or out of a tank

Liquid can enter or leave a tank by pumping, by gravity flow or by process pressure.

Vacuum can result from the outflow of liquid from a tank. Overpressure can result from the inflow of liquid into a tank and from the vapourization, including flashing of the feed liquid, that occurs because of the inflow of the liquid. Flashing of the feed liquid can be significant for feed that is near or above its boiling point at the pressure in the tank. See 4.3 for calculation methods.

4.2.3 Weather changes

Vacuum can result from the contraction or condensation of vapours that is caused by a decrease in atmospheric temperature or other weather changes, such as wind changes, precipitation, etc. Overpressure can result from the expansion and vapourization that is caused by an increase in atmospheric temperature or weather changes. See 4.3 for calculation methods.

4.2.4 Fire exposure

Overpressure results from the expansion of the vapours and vapourization of the liquid that occur when a tank absorbs heat from an external fire. See 4.3.3 for calculation methods.

4.2.5 Other circumstances

4.2.5.1 General

When the possible causes of overpressure or vacuum in a tank are being determined, other circumstances resulting from equipment failures and operating errors shall be considered and evaluated. Calculation methods for these other circumstances are not provided in this International Standard.

4.2.5.2 Pressure transfer vapour breakthrough

Liquid transfer from other vessels, tank trucks and tank cars can be aided or accomplished entirely by pressurization of the supply vessel with a gas, but the receiving tank can encounter a flow surge at the end of the transfer due to vapour breakthrough. Depending on the pre-existing pressure and free head space in the receiving tank, the additional gas volume can be sufficient to overpressure the tank. The controlling case is a transfer that fills the receiving tank so that little head space remains to absorb the pressure surge.

4.2.5.3 Inert pads and purges

Inert pads and purges are provided on tanks to protect the contents of the tanks from contamination, maintain non-flammable atmospheres in the tanks and reduce the extent of the flammable envelope of the vapours vented from the tanks. An inert pad and purge system normally has a supply regulator and a back-pressure regulator to maintain interior tank pressure within a narrow operating range. Failure of the supply regulator can result in unrestricted gas flow into the tank and subsequent tank overpressure, reduced gas flow, or complete loss of the gas flow. Failure closed of the back-pressure regulator can result in a blocked outlet and overpressure. If the back-pressure regulator is connected to a vapour-recovery system, its failure open can result in vacuum.

4.2.5.4 Abnormal heat transfer

Steam, tempered water and hot oil are common heating media for tanks whose contents it is necessary to maintain at elevated temperatures. Failure of a tank's supply control valve, temperature-sensing element or control system can cause an increase of heat input to the tank. Vapourization of the liquid stored in the tank can result in tank overpressure.

Heated tanks that have two liquid phases present the possibility of a rapid vapourization if the lower phase is heated to the point where its density becomes lower than the density of the liquid above it. It is recommended to specify design and operating practices to avoid these conditions.

If a tank maintained at elevated temperatures is empty, excessive feed vapourization can result when the tank is filled. If the temperature control system of the tank is active with the sensing element exposed to vapour, the tank's heating medium can be circulating at maximum rate with the tank wall at maximum temperature. Filling under such conditions can result in excessive feed vapourization. The excessive feed vapourization stops as soon as the walls have cooled and the fluid level covers the sensing element.

For a tank with a cooling jacket or coils, liquid vapourization as a result of the loss of coolant flow shall be considered.

4.2.5.5 Internal failure of heat-transfer devices

Mechanical failure of a tank's internal heating or cooling device can expose the contents of the tank to the heating or cooling medium used in the device. In low-pressure tanks, it can be assumed that the flow direction of the heat-transfer medium is into the tank when the device fails. Chemical compatibility of the tank contents and the heat-transfer medium shall be considered. Relief of the heat-transfer medium (e.g. steam) can be necessary.

4.2.5.6 Vent treatment systems

If vapour from a tank is collected for treatment or disposal by a vent treatment system, the vent collection system can fail. This failure shall be evaluated. Failures affecting the safety of a tank can include back-pressure developed from problems in the piping (liquid-filled pockets and solids build-up), other equipment venting or relieving into the header or blockage due to equipment failure. An emergency venting device that relieves to atmosphere, set at a higher pressure than the vent treatment system, may be used if appropriate.

4.2.5.7 Utility failure

Local and plant-wide power and utility failures shall be considered as possible causes of overpressure or vacuum. Loss of electrical power directly affects any motorized valves or controllers and can also shut down the instrument air supply. Also, cooling and heating fluids can be lost during an electrical failure.

4.2.5.8 Change in temperature of the input stream to a tank

A change in the temperature of the input stream to a tank, brought about by a loss of cooling or an increase in heat input, can cause overpressure in the tank. A lower-temperature inlet stream can result in vapour condensation and contraction, which can cause vacuum.

4.2.5.9 Chemical reactions

The contents of some tanks can be subject to chemical reactions that generate heat and/or vapours. Some examples of chemical reactions include inadvertently adding water to acid or spent acid tanks, thereby generating steam and/or vapourizing light hydrocarbons; runaway reactions in tanks containing cumene hydroperoxide; etc. In some cases, the material can foam, causing two-phase relief.

Technology available from the Design Institute for Emergency Relief Systems (DIERS) Users Group of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AICHE) or from the DIERS group in Europe may be used to evaluate these cases.

4.2.5.10 Liquid overfill protection

For information on liquid overfill protection, see API Std 2510, API RP 2350 and EN 13616. Prevent liquid overfill by providing instrument safeguards and/or effective operator intervention actions.

4.2.5.11 Atmospheric pressure changes

A rise or drop in barometric pressure is a possible cause of vacuum or overpressure in a tank. This should be considered for refrigerated storage tanks (see 5.2.1.2).

4.2.5.12 Control valve failure

The effect of a control valve failing open or failing closed shall be considered to determine the potential for pressure or vacuum due to mass and/or energy imbalances. For example, failure of a control valve on the liquid line to a tank shall be considered because such a failure can overload heat-exchange equipment resulting in the admission of high-temperature material into the tank. A control-valve failure can also cause the liquid level in a pressurized vessel feeding liquid to a tank to drop below the vessel outlet nozzle, allowing high-pressure vapour to enter the tank (see 4.2.5.2).

4.2.5.13 Steam out

If an uninsulated tank is filled with steam, the condensing rate due to ambient cooling can exceed the venting rates specified in this International Standard. Procedures, such as the use of large vents (open manways), controlling the tank cooling rate or adding a non-condensable gas such as air or nitrogen, are often necessary to prevent excessive internal vacuum.

4.2.5.14 Uninsulated hot tanks

Uninsulated tanks with exceptionally hot vapour spaces can exceed the thermal inbreathing requirements in this International Standard during a rainstorm. Vapour contraction can cause excessive vacuum. An engineered review of heated, uninsulated tanks with vapour-space temperatures above 48,9 °C (120 °F) is recommended.

4.2.5.15 Internal explosion/deflagration

Tank contents can ignite, producing an internal deflagration with overpressures that develop more rapidly than some venting devices can handle. For explosion venting, see NFPA 68 and EN 13237. For inerting, see Annex F.

4.2.5.16 Mixing of products of different composition

Introduction of materials that are more volatile than those normally stored can be possible due to upsets in upstream processing or human error. This can result in overpressure.

4.3 Determination of venting requirements

4.3.1 General

It is necessary to quantify the venting requirements for any applicable cause of excessive pressure or vacuum as identified based on guidance provided in 4.2 to establish the design basis for the sizing of relief devices or any other means of appropriate protection. To assist in this quantification, this International Standard provides guidance for the detailed calculation related to the following commonly encountered conditions:

a) normal inbreathing resulting from a maximum outflow of liquid from the tank (liquid-transfer effects);

b) normal inbreathing resulting from contraction or condensation of vapours caused by a maximum decrease in vapour-space temperature (thermal effects);

c) normal out-breathing resulting from a maximum inflow of liquid into the tank and maximum vapourization caused by such inflow (liquid-transfer effects);

d) normal out-breathing resulting from expansion and vapourization that results from a maximum increase in vapour-space temperature (thermal effects);

e) emergency venting resulting from fire exposure.

When determining the venting requirements, the largest single contingency requirement or any reasonable and probable combination of contingencies shall be considered as the design basis. At a minimum, the combination of the liquid-transfer effects and thermal effects for normal venting shall be considered when determining the total normal inbreathing or out-breathing.

With the exception of refrigerated storage tanks, common practice is to consider only the total normal inbreathing for determining the venting requirements. That is, inbreathing loads from other circumstances described in 4.2.5 are generally not considered coincident with the normal inbreathing. This is considered a reasonable approach because the thermal inbreathing is a severe and short-lived condition.

For the total out-breathing, consider the scenarios described in 4.2.5 and determine whether these should be coincident with normal out-breathing flows.

4.3.2 Calculation of maximum flow rates for normal out-breathing and normal inbreathing

4.3.2.1 General

The method in 4.3.2.1 is based on engineering calculations. See Annex E for the assumptions on which this calculated method is based. For a more detailed understanding of this model, see References [21] and [22].

An alternative method of calculating normal out-breathing and normal inbreathing flows is given in Annex A. This alternative method may be used for tank/services that meet the boundary conditions specified in Annex A.

The method of calculation utilized shall be documented.

The inbreathing and out-breathing requirements in this International Standard are for air at normal or standard conditions. The user shall correct the inbreathing and out-breathing requirements to normal or standard conditions for tanks that are heated (insulated) or pressurized to greater than 6,9 kPa (1 psi).

4.3.2.2 Liquid filling and discharge capacities

4.3.2.2.1 Out-breathing

The out-breathing shall be determined as follows.

a) The out-breathing volumetric flow rate, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{op}}$, expressed in SI units of cubic metres per hour of air, for products stored below 40 °C or with a vapour pressure less than 5,0 kPa, shall be as given by Equation (1):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{op}} = \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pf}} \tag{1}$$

where $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pf}}$ is the maximum volumetric filling rate, expressed in cubic metres per hour.

The out-breathing volumetric flow rate, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{op}}$, expressed in USC units of cubic feet per hour of air, for products stored below 104 °F or with a vapour pressure less than 0,73 psi, shall be as given by Equation (2):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{op}} = 8{,}02 \cdot \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pf}} \tag{2}$$

where $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pf}}$ is the maximum volumetric filling rate, expressed in US gallons per minute.

b) For products containing more volatile components or dissolved gases (e.g. oil spiked with methane), perform a flash calculation and increase the out-breathing venting requirements accordingly.

c) For products stored above 40 °C (104 °F) or with a vapour pressure greater than 5,0 kPa (0,73 psi), increase the out-breathing by the evaporation rate.

4.3.2.2.2 Inbreathing

The inbreathing venting requirement, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{ip}}$, expressed in SI units of cubic metres per hour of air, shall be the maximum specified liquid discharging capacity for the tank as given by Equation (3).

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{ip}} = \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}} \tag{3}$$

where $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ is the maximum rate of liquid discharging, expressed in cubic metres per hour.

Calculate the inbreathing venting requirement, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{ip}}$, expressed in USC units of cubic feet per hour of air, in accordance with Equation (4):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{ip}} = 8{,}02 \cdot \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}} \tag{4}$$

where $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ is the maximum rate of liquid discharging, expressed in US gallons per minute.

4.3.2.3 Thermal out-breathing and inbreathing

4.3.2.3.1 General

Consider thermal out-breathing and inbreathing due to atmospheric heating or cooling of the external surfaces of the tank shell and roof.

4.3.2.3.2 Thermal out-breathing

Calculate the thermal out-breathing (i.e. the maximum thermal flow rate for heating up), $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{OT}}$, expressed in SI units of normal cubic metres per hour of air, in accordance with Equation (5):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{OT}} = Y \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}}^{0,9} \cdot R_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{5}$$

where

Y is a factor for the latitude (see Table 1);

V_{tk} is the tank volume, expressed in cubic metres;

R_{i} is the reduction factor for insulation {R_{i} = 1 if no insulation is used; $R_{\mathrm{i}} = R_{\mathrm{inp}}$ for partially insulated tanks [see Equation (10)]; $R_{\mathrm{i}} = R_{\mathrm{in}}$ for fully insulated tanks [see Equation (9)]}.

Calculate the thermal out-breathing (i.e. the maximum thermal flow rate for heating up), $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{OT}}$, expressed in USC units as standard cubic feet per hour of air, in accordance with Equation (6):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{OT}} = 1{,}51 \cdot Y \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}}^{0,9} \cdot R_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{6}$$

where

Y is a factor for the latitude (see Table 1);

V_{tk} is the tank volume, expressed in cubic feet;

R_{i} is the reduction factor for insulation {R_{i} = 1 if no insulation is used; $R_{\mathrm{i}} = R_{\mathrm{inp}}$ for partially insulated tanks [see Equation (10)]; $R_{\mathrm{i}} = R_{\mathrm{in}}$ for fully insulated tanks [see Equation (9)]}.

The Y-factor for the latitude in Equations (5) and (6) can be taken from Table 1.

Table 1 — Y-factor for various latitudes

Latitude	Y-factor
Below 42°	0,32
Between 42° and 58°	0,25
Above 58°	0,2

4.3.2.3.3 Thermal inbreathing

Calculate the maximum thermal flow rate during cooling down, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{IT}}$, expressed in SI units of normal cubic metres per hour of air, in accordance with Equation (7):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{IT}} = C \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}}^{0,7} \cdot R_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{7}$$

where

C is a factor that depends on vapour pressure, average storage temperature and latitude (see Table 2);

V_{tk} is the tank volume, expressed in cubic metres;

R_i is the same as for Equation (5).

Calculate the maximum thermal flow rate during cooling down, $\dot{V}_{IT}$, expressed in USC units of standard cubic feet per hour of air, in accordance with Equation (8):

$$\dot{V}_{IT} = 3{,}08 \cdot C \cdot V_{tk}^{0{,}7} \cdot R_i \tag{8}$$

where

C is a factor that depends on vapour pressure, average storage temperature and latitude (see Table 2);

V_{tk} is the tank volume, expressed in cubic feet;

R_i is the same as for Equation (5).

Table 2 — *C*-factors

Latitude	*C*-factor for various conditions			
	Vapour pressure			
	Hexane or similar		Higher than hexane, or unknown	
	Average storage temperature °C			
	< 25	≥ 25	< 25	≥ 25
Below 42°	4	6,5	6,5	6,5
Between 42° and 58°	3	5	5	5
Above 58°	2,5	4	4	4

4.3.2.4 Reduction factor for tanks with insulation

The thermal flow rate for heating up (thermal out-breathing) or cooling down (thermal inbreathing) is reduced by insulation and depends upon the properties and thickness of the insulation.

Calculate the reduction factor, R_{in}, for a fully insulated tank as given by Equation (9).

$$R_{in} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{h \cdot l_{in}}{\lambda_{in}}} \tag{9}$$

where

h is the inside heat-transfer coefficient, expressed in watts per square metre-kelvin;

NOTE An inside heat-transfer coefficient of 4 W/(m^2·K) [0,7 Btu/(h·ft^2·°F)] is commonly assumed for typical tanks.

l_{in} is the wall thickness of the insulation, expressed in metres;

λ_{in} is the thermal conductivity of the insulation, expressed in watts per metre-kelvin, EXAMPLE For an insulation thickness, L_{in}, equal to 0,1 m, a thermal conductivity of the insulation, λ_{in}, equal to 0,05 W/(m·K), and a heat-transfer coefficient, h, equal to 4 W/(m^2·K), the reduction factor, R_{in}, is equal to 0,11. Thus, the out-breathing of the insulated tank is 0,11 times that of the uninsulated tank.

Calculate the reduction factor, R_{inp}, for a partially insulated tank as given by Equation (10):

$$R_{inp} = \frac{A_{inp}}{A_{TTS}} \cdot R_{in} + \left(1 - \frac{A_{inp}}{A_{TTS}}\right) \quad (10)$$

where

A_{TTS} is the total tank surface area (shell and roof), expressed in square metres (square feet);

A_{inp} is the insulated surface area of the tank, expressed in square metres (square feet).

4.3.3 Requirements for emergency venting capacity for tanks subject to fire exposure

4.3.3.1 General

When storage tanks are exposed to fire, the venting rate can exceed the rate resulting from normal out-breathing.

4.3.3.2 Tanks with weak roof-to-shell attachment

On a fixed-roof tank with a weak (frangible) roof-to-shell attachment, such as that described in API Std 650, the roof-to-shell connection will fail prior to other tank welds, allowing relief of the excess pressure if the normal venting capacity proves inadequate. For a tank built to these specifications, it is not necessary to consider additional requirements for emergency venting; however, additional emergency vents may be used to avoid failure of the frangible joint. Care should be taken to ensure that the current requirements for a frangible roof-to-shell attachment are met, particularly for tanks smaller than 15 m (50 ft) in diameter.

4.3.3.3 Fire relief requirements

4.3.3.3.1 When a tank is not provided with a weak roof-to-shell attachment as described in 4.3.3.2, the procedure given in 4.3.3.3.2 through 4.3.3.3.7 shall govern in evaluating the required venting capacity for fire exposure.

4.3.3.3.2 Calculate the required venting capacity, q, expressed in SI units of normal cubic metres per hour of air, for tanks subject to fire exposure as given by Equation (11):

$$q = 906{,}6 \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L} \cdot \left(\frac{T}{M}\right)^{0{,}5} \quad (11)$$

where

Q is the heat input from fire exposure as given by Table 3, expressed in watts;

F is the environmental factor from Table 9 (credit may be taken for only one environmental factor);

L is the latent heat of vapourization of the stored liquid at the relieving pressure and temperature, expressed in joules per kilogram;

T is the absolute temperature of the relieving vapour, expressed in kelvins;

NOTE It is normally assumed that the temperature of the relieving vapour corresponds to the boiling point of the stored fluid at the relieving pressure.

M is the relative molecular mass of the vapour.

Calculated the required venting capacity, q, expressed in USC units of standard cubic feet per hour of air, for tanks subject to fire exposure as given by Equation (12).

$$q = 3{,}091 \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L} \cdot \left(\frac{T}{M}\right)^{0{,}5} \tag{12}$$

where

Q is the heat input from fire exposure as given by Table 4, expressed in British thermal units per hour;

F is the environmental factor from Table 9 (credit may be taken for only one environmental factor);

L is the latent heat of vapourization of the stored liquid at the relieving pressure and temperature, expressed in British thermal units per pound;

T is the absolute temperature of the relieving vapour, expressed in degrees Rankine;

NOTE It is normally assumed that the temperature of the relieving vapour corresponds to the boiling point of the stored fluid at the relieving conditions in the tank.

M is the relative molecular mass of the vapour.

Table 3 — Heat input, Q
(expressed in SI units)

Wetted surface area A_{TWS} m^2	**Design pressure** kPa (gauge)	**Heat input** Q W
< 18,6	≤ 103,4	$63\,150 A_{TWS}$
≥ 18,6 and < 93	≤ 103,4	$224\,200 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,566})$
≥ 93 and < 260	≤ 103,4	$630\,400 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,338})$
≥ 260	> 7 and ≤ 103,4	$43\,200 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,82})$
≥ 260	≤ 7	4 129 700

Table 4 — Heat input, Q
(expressed in USC units)

Wetted surface area A_{TWS} ft^2	**Design pressure** psig	**Heat input** Q Btu/h
< 200	≤ 15	$20\,000 A_{TWS}$
≥ 200 and < 1 000	≤ 15	$199\,300 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,566})$
≥ 1 000 and < 2 800	≤ 15	$963\,400 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,338})$
≥ 2 800	> 1 and ≤ 15	$21\,000 \times (A_{TWS}^{0,82})$
≥ 2 800	≤ 1	14 090 000

4.3.3.3.3 Where the fluid properties are similar to those of hexane, the required venting capacity can be determined from Table 5 or Table 6.

Table 5 — Venting capacity
(expressed in SI units)

Wetted surface area A_{TWS} [a] m^2	**Design pressure** kPa (gauge)	**Required venting capacity** Nm^3/h of air
< 260	≤ 103,4	See Table 7 and 4.3.3.3.4.
≥ 260	≤ 7	19 910 (see 4.3.3.3.4)
≥ 260	> 7 and ≤ 103,4	Use Equation (13) [b].

[a] The wetted area of a tank or storage vessel shall be calculated as follows.

— For spheres and spheroids, the wetted area is equal to 55 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 9,14 m above grade, whichever is greater.

— For horizontal tanks, the wetted area is equal to 75 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 9,14 m above grade, whichever is greater.

— For vertical tanks, the wetted area is equal to the total surface area of the vertical shell to a height of 9,14 m above grade. For a vertical tank setting on the ground, the area of the ground plates is not included as wetted area. For a vertical tank supported above grade, it is necessary to include a portion of the area of the bottom as additional wetted surface. The portion of the bottom area exposed to a fire depends on the diameter and elevation of the tank above grade. It is necessary to use engineering judgement in evaluating the portion of the area exposed to fire.

[b] Calculate the venting requirement, q, expressed in normal cubic metres per hour of air as given in Equation (13), which is based on the total heat absorbed, Q, expressed in watts, equal to 43 200$A_{TWS}^{0,82}$ [see Equation (B.7)]:

$$q = 208{,}2\, F \cdot A_{TWS}^{0,82} \tag{13}$$

where

F is the environmental factor from Table 9 (credit may be taken for only one environmental factor);

A_{TWS} is the wetted surface area, expressed in square metres.

The total heat absorbed, Q, is expressed in watts for Equation (13). Table 7 and the constant 208,2 in Equation (13) are derived from Equation (11) and Figure B.1 by using the latent heat of vapourization of hexane, equal to 334 900 J/kg at atmospheric pressure, and the relative molecular mass of hexane (86,17) and assuming a vapour temperature of 15,6 °C. This method provide results within an acceptable degree of accuracy for many fluids having similar properties (see Annex B).

Table 6 — Venting capacity
(expressed in USC units)

Wetted surface area A_{TWS} [a] ft^2	**Design pressure** psig	**Required venting capacity** SCFH of air
< 2 800	≤ 15	See Table 8 and 4.3.3.3.4.
≥ 2 800	≤ 1	742 000 (see 4.3.3.3.4)
≥ 2 800	> 1 and ≤ 15	Use Equation (14) [b].

[a] The wetted area of a tank or storage vessel shall be calculated as follows.

— For spheres and spheroids, the wetted area is equal to 55 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 30 ft above grade, whichever is greater.

— For horizontal tanks, the wetted area is equal to 75 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 30 ft above grade, whichever is greater.

— For vertical tanks, the wetted area is equal to the total surface area of the vertical shell to a height of 30 ft above grade. For a vertical tank setting on the ground, the area of the ground plates is not included as wetted area. For a vertical tank supported above grade, it is necessary to include a portion of the area of the bottom as additional wetted surface. The portion of the bottom area exposed to a fire depends on the diameter and elevation of the tank above grade. It is necessary to use engineering judgement in evaluating the portion of the area exposed to fire.

[b] Calculate the venting requirement, q, expressed in standard cubic feet per hour of air as given in Equation (14), which is based on the total heat absorbed, Q, expressed in British thermal units per hour, equal to 21 $000 A_{TWS}^{0,82}$ [see Equation (B.8)]:

$$q = 1\,107\, F \cdot A_{TWS}^{0,82} \quad (14)$$

where

F is the environmental factor from Table 9 (credit may be taken for only one environmental factor);

A_{TWS} is the wetted surface area, expressed in square feet.

The total heat absorbed, Q, is expressed in Btu per hour for Equation (14). Table 8 and the constant 1 107 in Equation (14) are derived from Equation (12) and Figure B.2 by using the latent heat of vapourization of hexane, equal to 144 Btu/lb at atmospheric pressure, and the relative molecular mass of hexane (86,17) and assuming a vapour temperature of 60 °F. This method provides results within an acceptable degree of accuracy for many fluids having similar properties (see Annex B).

Table 7 — Emergency venting required for fire exposure versus wetted surface area
(expressed in SI units)

Wetted area [a] m^2	Venting required Nm^3/h	Wetted area [a] m^2	Venting required Nm^3/h
2	608	35	8 086
3	913	40	8 721
4	1 217	45	9 322
5	1 521	50	9 895
6	1 825	60	10 971
7	2 130	70	11 971
8	2 434	80	12 911
9	2 738	90	13 801
11	3 347	110	15 461
13	3 955	130	15 751
15	4 563	150	16 532
17	5 172	175	17 416
19	5 780	200	18 220
22	6 217	230	19 102
25	6 684	260	19 910
30	7 411	> 260 [b]	—

[a] The wetted area of a tank or storage vessel shall be calculated as follows.

— For spheres and spheroids, the wetted area is equal to 55 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 9,14 m above grade, whichever is greater.

— For horizontal tanks, the wetted area is equal to 75 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 9,14 m above grade, whichever is greater.

— For vertical tanks, the wetted area is equal to the total surface area of the vertical shell to a height of 9,14 m above grade. For a vertical tank setting on the ground, the area of the ground plates is not included as wetted area. For a vertical tank supported above grade, it is necessary to include a portion of the area of the bottom as additional wetted surface. The portion of the bottom area exposed to a fire depends on the diameter and elevation of the tank above grade. It is necessary to use engineering judgement in evaluating the portion of the area exposed to fire.

[b] For wetted surfaces larger than 260 m^2, see Table 5

NOTE This table and the constant 208,2 in Equation (13) are derived from Equation (11) and Figure B.1 by using the latent heat of vapourization of hexane, equal to 334 900 J/kg at atmospheric pressure, and the relative molecular mass of hexane (86,17) and assuming a vapour temperature of 15,6 °C. This method provides results within an acceptable degree of accuracy for many fluids having similar properties (see Annex B).

Table 8 — Emergency venting required for fire exposure versus wetted surface area
(expressed in USC units)

Wetted area [a] ft^2	**Venting required** SCFH		**Wetted area** [a] ft^2	**Venting required** SCFH
20	21 100		350	288 000
30	31 600		400	312 000
40	42 100		500	354 000
50	52 700		600	392 000
60	63 200		700	428 000
70	73 700		800	462 000
80	84 200		900	493 000
90	94 800		1 000	524 000
100	105 000		1 200	557 000
120	126 000		1 400	587 000
140	147 000		1 600	614 000
160	168 000		1 800	639 000
180	190 000		2 000	662 000
200	211 000		2 400	704 000
250	239 000		2 800	742 000
300	265 000		> 2 800 [b]	—

[a] The wetted area of a tank or storage vessel shall be calculated as follows.

— For spheres and spheroids, the wetted area is equal to 55 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 30 ft above grade, whichever is greater.

— For horizontal tanks,, the wetted area is equal to 75 % of the total surface area or the surface area to a height of 30 ft above grade, whichever is greater.

— For vertical tanks, he wetted area is equal to the total surface area of the vertical shell to a height of 30 ft above grade. For a vertical tank setting on the ground, the area of the ground plates is not included as wetted area. For a vertical tank supported above grade, it is necessary to include a portion of the area of the bottom as additional wetted surface. The portion of the bottom area exposed to a fire depends on the diameter and elevation of the tank above grade. It is necessary to use engineering judgement in evaluating the portion of the area exposed to fire.

[b] For wetted surfaces larger than 2 800 ft^2, see Table 6.

NOTE This table, and the constant 1 107 in Equation (14), were derived from Equation (12) and Figure B.1 by using the latent heat of vapourization of hexane, equal to 144 Btu/lb at atmospheric pressure, and the relative molecular mass of hexane (86,17) and assuming a vapour temperature of 60 °F. This method provides results within an acceptable degree of accuracy for many fluids having similar properties (see Annex B).

Table 9 — Environmental factors for non-refrigerated aboveground tanks
(expressed in SI and USC units)

Tank design/ configuration	Insulation conductance		Insulation thickness		*F*-factor
	$W/m^2 \cdot K$	$Btu/(h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F)$	cm	in	
Bare metal tank	—	—	0	0	1,0
Insulated tank [a]	22,7	4,0	2,5	1	0,3 [b]
	11,4	2,0	5,1	2	0,15 [b]
	5,7	1,0	10,2	4	0,075 [b]
	3,8	0,67	15,2	6	0,05 [b]
	2,8	0,5	20,3	8	0,037 5 [b]
	2,3	0,4	25,4	10	0,03 [b]
	1,9	0,33	30,5	12	0,025 [b]
Concrete tank or fireproofing	—		—		[c]
Water-application facilities [d]	—		—		1,0
Depressuring and emptying facilities [e]	—		—		1,0
Underground storage	—		—		0
Earth-covered storage above grade	—		—		0,03
Impoundment away from tank [f]	—		—		0,5

[a] The insulation shall resist dislodgment by fire-fighting equipment, shall be non-combustible and shall not decompose at temperatures up to 537,8 °C (1 000 °F). The user is responsible for determining whether the insulation can resist dislodgment by the available fire-fighting equipment. If the insulation does not meet these criteria, no credit for insulation shall be taken. The conductance values are based on insulation with a thermal conductivity of 9 $W/m^2 \cdot K/cm$ (4 $Btu/h \cdot ft^2 \cdot °F/in$) of thickness. The user is responsible for determining the actual conductance value of the insulation used. The conservative value of 9 W/m2·K/cm (4 Btu/h·ft2·°F/in) of thickness for the thermal conductivity is used.

[b] These F-factors are based on the thermal conductance values shown and a temperature differential of 887,9 K (1 600 °F) when using a heat input value of 66 200 W/m^2 (21 000 $Btu/h \cdot ft^2$) in accordance with the conditions assumed in ISO 23251. When these conditions do not exist, engineering judgment should be used to select a different F-factor or to provide other means for protecting the tank from fire exposure.

NOTE For the purposes of this provision, API 521 is equivalent to ISO 23251.

[c] Use the F-factor for an equivalent conductance value of insulation.

[d] Under ideal conditions, water films covering metal surfaces can absorb most incident radiation. The reliability of water application depends on many factors. Freezing weather, high winds, clogged systems, undependable water supply and tank surface conditions can prevent uniform water coverage. Because of these uncertainties, no reduction in environmental factors is recommended; however, as stated previously, properly applied water can be very effective.

[e] Depressuring devices may be used, but no credit shall be allowed in sizing the venting device for fire exposure.

[f] The following conditions shall be met.

- A slope of not less than 1 % away from the tank shall be provided for at least 15 m (50 ft) toward the impounding area.
- The impounding area shall have a capacity that is not less than the capacity of the largest tank that can drain into it.
- The drainage system routes from other tanks to their impounding areas shall not seriously expose the tank.
- The impounding area for the tank, as well as the impounding areas for the other tanks (whether remote or with dykes around the other tanks), shall be located so that when the area is filled to capacity, its liquid level is no closer than 15 m (50 ft) to the tank.

4.3.3.3.4 The total rate of venting determined from Table 7 or Table 8 may be multiplied by an appropriate environmental factor, F, selected from Table 9; credit may be taken for only one environmental factor.

4.3.3.3.5 Credit may be taken for the venting capacity provided by the device installed for normal venting, since the normal thermal effect can be disregarded during a fire. Also, it can be assumed that there is no liquid movement into the tank during fire exposure.

4.3.3.3.6 If normal venting devices have inadequate capacity, additional emergency venting devices of the type described in 4.4.2 shall be provided so that the total venting capacity is at least equivalent to that required by Table 5 or Table 6 or by Equation (11) or Equation (12).

4.3.3.3.7 The total venting capacity shall be based on the pressure indicated in 4.6.2.

4.4 Means of venting

4.4.1 Normal venting

4.4.1.1 General

Normal venting for pressure and vacuum shall be accomplished by a PV valve with or without a flame-arresting device or by an open vent with or without a flame-arresting device.

Protect atmospheric storage tanks against flame transmission from outside the tank if

— the stored liquid has a low flash point, i.e. less than 60 °C (140 °F) or in accordance with the applicable regulations, whichever is higher, or

— the storage temperature can exceed the flash point, or

— the tank can otherwise contain a flammable vapour space.

See 4.5 for design considerations for tanks that have potentially flammable atmospheres. A discussion of the types and operating characteristics of venting devices can be found in Annex C.

Relief devices equipped with a lever and weight and non-reclosing relief devices are not recommended for normal venting.

4.4.1.2 Pressure/vacuum valves

To avoid product loss, PV valves are recommended for use on atmospheric storage tanks.

4.4.1.3 Open vents

If open vents are selected to provide venting capacity for tanks that can contain a flammable vapour space as defined in 4.4.1.1, a flame-arresting device should be used. Open vents without a flame-arresting device may be used for tanks that do not contain a flammable vapour space.

In the case of viscous oils, such as cutback and penetration-grade asphalts, where the danger of tank collapse resulting from sticking pallets or from plugging of flame arresters is greater than the possibility of flame transmission into the tank, open vents may be used as an exception to the requirements of 4.4.1.3; or heated vents that ensure that the vapour temperature stays above the dew point may be used.

In areas with strict fugitive emissions regulations, open vents might not be acceptable and vent-device selection should consider the maximum leakage requirements during periods of normal tank operation.

4.4.2 Emergency venting

Emergency venting may be accomplished by the use of the following:

a) larger or additional open vents as limited by 4.4.1.3;

b) larger or additional PV valves;

c) a gauge hatch that permits the cover to lift under abnormal internal pressure;

d) a manhole cover that lifts when exposed to abnormal internal pressure;

e) weak (frangible) roof-to-shell attachment (see 4.3.3.2);

f) other forms of construction that can be proven to be comparable for the purposes of pressure relief;

g) a rupture-disk device.

4.5 Considerations for tanks with potentially flammable atmospheres

4.5.1 General

Depending on the process and operating conditions, the vapour space in the tank can be flammable. Ignition of the vapour space while within the flammable region likely leads to tank roof damage and/or loss of containment. Ignition sources include, but are not limited to, static discharge inside the tank due to splash filling or improper level gauging, pyrophoric materials on the inside surfaces of the tank, external hot work on the tank, fire exposure of the tank, or flame propagation through a tank opening or vent caused by a lightning strike. Consider the potential for a flammable atmosphere inside the tank and determine whether safeguards are adequate.

If explosion venting is necessary, see 4.2.5.15.

4.5.2 Design options for explosion prevention

If the tank's vapour space can be within the flammable range, the user shall determine what safeguards are required to prevent internal deflagration. The following are typical safeguards.

a) Different tank selection: A different type of tank design can reduce or eliminate the formation of a flammable atmosphere.

EXAMPLES Floating roof tank or a tank rated for full vacuum.

b) Inert-gas blanketing, which is an effective means of reducing the likelihood of a flammable atmosphere inside a tank, when engineered and maintained properly. Note that inerting can introduce an asphyxiation risk, and in sour services can promote the formation of pyrophoric deposits.

c) A flame arrester, the use of which in an open vent line or on the inlet to the pressure/vacuum valve is an effective method to reduce the risk of flame transmission. The user is cautioned that the use of a flame arrester within the tank's relief path introduces the risk of tank damage from overpressure or vacuum due to plugging if the arrester is not maintained properly. More information on flame arresters can be found in ISO 16852, NFPA 69, TRbF 20, EN 12874, FM 6061, and USCG 33 CFR 154. The use of a flame arrester increases the pressure drop of the venting system. The manufacturer(s) should be consulted for assessing the magnitude of these effects.

   For the proper selection of a flame arrester, the piping configuration, operating pressure and temperature, oxygen concentration, compatibility of flame arrester material and explosive gas group (IIA, IIB, etc.) should be considered. For selection of the correct flame arrester, the manufacturer should be consulted.

d) Pressure/vacuum valve: The petroleum industry has had good experience with tanks protected by pressure and vacuum vents without flame arresters. As a result, there has been a belief that this good experience is due to the pressure vents' potentially inherent flame-arresting capabilities. Recent testing, however, disproves this hypothesis at least for the tested conditions. See 4.5.4 for more information on flame propagation through pressure vents.

4.5.3 Inert-gas-blanketed tanks

An inert-gas system may be used to avoid drawing air into the tank during vacuum conditions. The use of inert-gas systems instead of a vacuum-relief device is beyond the scope of this International Standard. For tanks that use an inert-gas supply system, the likelihood of a potentially explosive atmosphere is reduced and there can be benefits related to a less severe hazardous area classification. See Annex F for a discussion of other benefits and for informative guidance for inert-gas blanketing of tanks for flashback protection. The venting devices shall be sized for the case where the inert gas is unavailable (see 4.3.1).

4.5.4 Flame propagation through pressure/vacuum valves

Testing has demonstrated that a flame can propagate through a pressure/vacuum valve and into the vapour space of the tank. Tests have shown that ignition of a PV's relief stream (possibly due to a lighting strike) can result in a flashback to the PV with enough overpressure to lift the vacuum pallet, allowing the flame to enter the tank's vapour space. Other tests show that, under low-flow conditions, a flame can propagate though the pressure side of the PV; see Reference [23].

Flashbacks through PV are rare in the petroleum industry. The following are some factors that may explain this.

— The materials stored in most cone roof tanks often do not result in a flammable atmosphere in the tank.

— A lightning strike is likely to occur under conditions of cloud cover, so there is a reduced likelihood that the tank is out-breathing. However, it can still be out-breathing if liquid is entering.

— A lightning strike is almost always preceded by winds, which keeps the size of the flammable cloud near the PV to a minimum.

4.6 Relief-device specification

4.6.1 Sizing basis

The pressure- and vacuum-relief device(s), including open vents, shall be suitable to pass the venting requirements for the largest single contingency or any reasonable and probable combination of contingencies (see 4.2.5 and 4.3.1).

When evaluating the overpressure scenarios in 4.2.5, the user should determine if the relief load should be handled using normal out-breathing relief devices or emergency venting. This can be an important consideration if the emergency venting is via a frangible roof or a non-reclosing relief device (e.g. rupture-disk or blow-off hatch).

A tank inerting system as described in 4.5.3 may be specified to avoid pulling air into the tank during vacuum conditions. No credit for these inerting systems shall be taken for the purpose of sizing the vacuum-relief device.

The inlet and outlet hydraulics can affect the relief-device sizing, which can be an iterative design process.

The basis for the sizing equations is explained in Annex D.

4.6.2 Pressure and vacuum setting

4.6.2.1 The set pressure and relieving pressure shall be consistent with the requirements of the standard according to which the tank was designed and fabricated. Under normal and emergency conditions, pressure-relieving devices shall have sufficient flow capacity to prevent the pressure (or vacuum) from exceeding the limits of the tank design code. Some standards present specific requirements, but others might not.

4.6.2.2 Consultation between the tank designer, the person specifying the venting devices and the venting device manufacturer is strongly recommended to ensure that the venting devices are compatible with the tank design. It is often necessary that the set (start-to-open) pressure be lower than the design pressure of the tank to allow for adequate flow capacity of the devices. The operating pressure should be lower than the set pressure to allow for normal variations in pressure caused by changes in temperature and by other factors that affect pressure in the tank vapour space.

When designing inlet or outlet pipework for a pressure/vacuum relief valve, consider the influence of the following on the valve set pressure, the valve set vacuum and on the flow rate:

a) flow resistance of pipes, bends and installed equipment;

b) possible back-pressure or vacuum within the system.

4.6.2.3 The expected operating range of any pressure-control system on the tank should be considered relative to the vent's set point in order to avoid nuisance venting and/or vent seat leakage.

4.6.2.4 The pressure setting of a pressure-relieving device shall not exceed the maximum pressure that can exist at the level where the device is located when the pressure at the top of the tank equals the nominal pressure rating for the tank and the liquid contained in the tank is at the maximum design level. The static head from a vapour can be a significant value, especially if the vent discharge is piped to a high elevation above the tank.

4.6.2.5 For API Std 650 tanks not covered by API Std 650:2007, Appendix F, the pressure-relief devices selected should limit the pressure in the tank to prevent excessive lifting and flexing of the roofs of the tanks. Lifting and flexing of the roof of a tank is a condition that is determined by the weight of the roof. The total force caused by internal pressure should not exceed the weight of the roof and attachments, such as platforms and handrails. For example, the gauge pressure should be limited to approximately 350 Pa (3,5 mbar; 1,4 in H_2O) for a 4,76 mm (3/16 in) carbon steel roof.

4.6.2.6 For tanks built to EN 14015, the set pressure of the valves shall be selected in such a way that the required venting capacity at the MAWP is not exceeded.

4.6.2.7 In general, the set and relieving pressures for vacuum relief are established to prevent damage to a tank and shall limit vacuum to a level no greater than that for which a tank has been designed. The vacuum-relieving devices of a tank shall be set to open at a pressure or vacuum that ensures that the vacuum in the tank does not exceed the vacuum for which the tank is designed when the inflow of air through the devices is at its maximum specified rate.

4.6.3 Design

The pressure- or vacuum-relief device shall be designed so that it protects the tank in the event of failure of any essential part.

In cases where ambient conditions can result in accumulation of material that can prevent the valve from opening, the user shall consider additional safeguards to prevent malfunction of the venting device.

4.6.4 Materials of construction

Materials for a relief device and its associated piping shall be selected for the stored-product service temperatures and pressures at which the device and its piping are intended to operate. Also, the materials should be compatible with the product stored in the tank and with any products formed in the vicinity of the relief device during discharge.

4.7 Installation of venting devices

4.7.1 Installation of pressure- and vacuum-relief devices

Pressure- and vacuum-relief devices shall be installed to fulfil the following functions.

a) The devices shall provide direct communication with the vapour space and not be sealed off by the liquid contents of the tank.

b) Any block valve or isolating device fitted between the relief device and the tank, or the relief device and the discharge pipework shall be locked or sealed in the proper position. Where no spare relief devices are installed, this shall be done by locking or sealing these block valves open. Where spare relief devices are installed, then multiple-way valves, interlocked valves or sealed block valves and operating procedures shall be used so that isolating one pressure- or vacuum-relief device does not reduce the remaining relief capacity below the required relief capacity.

c) The design shall ensure that the inlet and outlet assemblies, including any block valves, permit the relief device to provide the required flow capacity. Inlet pressure losses developed during relief conditions shall be taken into account when sizing the pressure- and vacuum-relief devices. The inlet pipe penetration into the vessel, the pressure drop across any block valves used upstream of the venting device, and the inlet piping shall be considered when determining these losses.

4.7.2 Discharge piping

Discharge piping from the relief devices or common discharge headers shall comply with the following.

a) It shall lead to a safe location. A number of standards (e.g. API RP 500, TRbF 20, NFPA 30, IEC 60079-10) provide considerations for determining safe discharge of storage tank relief streams.

b) It shall be protected against mechanical damage.

c) It shall exclude or remove atmospheric moisture and condensate from the relief devices and associated piping. This may be done by the use of loose-fitting rain caps or drains, but an accounting shall be made of the pressure loss effects of these items. Low-point drains, if provided, shall be oriented to prevent possible flame impingement on the tanks, piping, equipment and structures. The selection of rain caps should be carefully considered to ensure that they do not obstruct the pressure- and/or vacuum-venting flow.

d) It shall discharge in areas that prevents flame impingement on personnel, tanks, piping, equipment and structures, and prevents vapour from entering enclosed spaces.

e) It shall prevent air from recirculating into the valve body during relief conditions to prevent ice from forming when the relief temperature is below 0 °C (32 °F).

f) It shall prevent condensing vapour from the tank from freezing.

g) When a tank is located inside a building, the tank's venting devices shall discharge to the outside of the building. A weak roof-to-shell connection shall not be used as a means for emergency venting a tank inside a building.

h) Relief-device discharge lines from one or more tanks may be connected to a common discharge header, provided the header complies with the other provisions of this subclause. Liquid traps that can introduce sufficient back-pressure to prevent relief devices from functioning properly shall be avoided. Other vents, drains, bleeders and relief devices shall not be tied into the common discharge header if back-pressures can be developed that prevent the relief devices on the tank from functioning properly. Back-pressures developed during relief conditions shall be taken into account when sizing the discharge header, sizing the relief devices and compensating the set pressure of unbalanced relief devices (see ISO 23251). Consideration shall be given to the potential for the pressure/vacuum valve to allow fluid in the discharge header to enter a tank. The design of the system shall evaluate fluid compatibility and flame transmission issues including the potential need for a detonation arrester.

i) See ISO 16852 for correct application of flame arresters in vent discharge piping. Additional information on flame arrestors can be found in NFPA 69, UL 525 and TRbF 20.

j) All discharge piping shall be adequately supported and shall not impose excessive loading onto the relief device, either due to the mass of the pipe assembly or through the bending moments which occur during discharge.

4.7.3 Set pressure verification

The set pressure of all pressure- and vacuum-relief devices should be verified before the devices are placed in operation.

5 Refrigerated aboveground and belowground tanks

5.1 General

A refrigerated liquid-petroleum products storage tank can be the inner tank of a double-roof, double-wall tank; a double-wall tank with a suspended deck; or a single-wall tank with or without a suspended deck.

Clause 5 covers the normal and emergency vapour venting requirements for refrigerated liquid-petroleum products storage tanks designed for operation within the pressure limits specified by the low-pressure tank-design code. This International Standard does not cover LNG storage. For refrigerated LNG tanks, see NFPA 59A or EN 1473 for other requirements.

All causes of overpressure or vacuum discussed in Clause 4 should be considered for refrigerated tanks, except where noted in 5.2.1. In addition, Clause 5 covers other sources of overpressure unique to refrigerated tanks.

5.2 Causes of overpressure or vacuum

5.2.1 Modified guidelines

5.2.1.1 General

Consider all of the causes of overpressure or vacuum discussed in Clause 4 for refrigerated tanks, except where noted below.

5.2.1.2 Atmospheric pressure changes

A rise or drop in barometric pressure is a possible cause of vacuum or overpressure in a tank. This is usually insignificant for non-refrigerated tanks; however, it should be considered for refrigerated tanks since the material is being stored close to its boiling point. A change in atmospheric pressure can result in a substantial amount of vapourization or condensation.

If the pressure in the tank is equal to maximum operating pressure, a drop in atmospheric pressure can cause overpressure from the expansion of vapour in the enclosed vapour space, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{AG}}$, and vapour evolved from the overheat of the liquid, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{AL}}$. Similarly, a vacuum condition can arise following an increase in atmospheric pressure.

The flow rate due to vapour expansion, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{AG}}$, expressed in cubic metres per hour under the actual conditions of pressure and of temperature of the enclosed vapour space, can be calculated using Equation (15):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{AG}} = \frac{V_{\mathrm{tk}}}{p} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{atm}}}{\mathrm{d}t} \tag{15}$$

where

V_{tk} is the maximum gaseous cubic capacity of the empty tank, expressed in cubic metres;

p is the absolute operating pressure, expressed in pascals;

$\frac{dp_{atm}}{dt}$ is the absolute value of rate of variation in atmospheric pressure, expressed in pascals per hour.

The flow rate due to the de-superheating of liquid, $\dot{V}_{AL}$, may be estimated by adapting the methods given in 5.2.1.3. for the calculation of the fractional proportion of the liquid, X_{gas}, that vapourizes instantaneously.

Total flow rate $\dot{V}_A$ is the sum of that caused by vapour expansion and that caused by flashing, as given by Equation (16):

$$\dot{V}_A = \dot{V}_{AG} + \dot{V}_{AL} \tag{16}$$

Local data for the rate of atmospheric pressure change should be used. Where there are no local data available, a change in atmospheric pressure of ± 2 000 Pa/h with total variation of 10 kPa may be assumed.

5.2.1.3 Liquid movement into or out of a tank

The inbreathing/out-breathing due to liquid movement into a non-refrigerated tank is described in 4.3.2.2. For a refrigerated tank, the user should assess the amount of product that flashes as it enters the tank. Flashing of the feed liquid can be significant for fluids that are near or above their boiling point at the pressure in the tank. Vapours generated during the filling operation can also come from a warm fill, from an inlet-piping heat leak, inlet pump work, cool down of the tank and fill line, and vapours displaced by the incoming liquid. The amount of flashing should be calculated rather than assumed.

If the refrigerated product is initially at equilibrium, the fractional proportion of liquid, X_{gas}, that vapourizes instantaneously due to a temperature before expansion higher than that of the bubble point of the stored refrigerated product may be approximated by the simplified equation given as Equation (17):

$$X_{gas} = 1 - \exp\left[\frac{C_p \cdot (T_2 - T_1)}{L}\right] \tag{17}$$

where

C_p is the specific heat capacity of the fluid, expressed in joules per kilogram kelvin;

T_2 is the boiling-point temperature of the fluid at the pressure of the tank, expressed in kelvins;

T_1 is the temperature of the fluid before expansion, expressed in kelvins;

L is the latent heat of vapourization of the fluid, expressed in joules per kilogram.

Consequently, the vapour generation rate, $\dot{V}_F$, is calculated using Equation (18):

$$\dot{V}_F = X_{gas} \cdot \dot{m}_{pf} \tag{18}$$

where $\dot{m}_{pf}$ is the filling flow rate, expressed in kilograms per second.

In the absence of more precise data, for absolute pressure drops ≤ 100 kPa (1 bar), the following values and Equation (19) may be used:

$$C_p = 3{,}53 \cdot 10^3 \text{ J/(kg·K)}$$

$$L = 504 \cdot 10^3 \text{ J/kg}$$

$$(T_2 - T_1) = \frac{(p_2 - p_1)}{8\,000} \tag{19}$$

where $(p_2 - p_1)$ represents the absolute pressure change of the refrigerated product between the initial storage and the pressure of the destination tank, expressed in pascals.

The proper sizing and design of the refrigeration and vapour collection systems should prevent vacuum and overpressure due to liquid movement into or out of the tank but credit for this should not be taken for the vacuum/pressure relief system design (see loss of refrigeration, 5.2.2.2).

5.2.1.4 Fire exposure

In 4.3.3 are described the venting requirements relating to the external fire exposure of non-refrigerated storage tanks. This approach should be taken for calculating venting requirements due to fire, with the exception that the method shown in 4.3.3.3 shall not be used since those requirements are based on hexane or similar products.

For a double-wall refrigerated storage tank, the heat input from a fire initially causes the vapours in the space between the walls of a double-wall tank to expand. The heat input also causes the vapours in the roof space of a double-wall tank with suspended-deck insulation to expand; however, it can be several hours before the increased heat input into the stored liquid causes a significantly increased vapourization rate. The venting requirements for handling the increased vapourization can be small compared to the requirements for handling the initial volumetric expansion of the vapours. Because emergency venting for a double-wall refrigerated storage tank is complex, no calculation method is presented here. A thorough analysis of the fire relief for a double-wall refrigerated storage tank, including a review of the structural integrity of unwetted portions of the outer wall, should be conducted.

5.2.2 Additional guidelines for overpressure

5.2.2.1 General

Other sources of overpressure unique to refrigerated tanks shall be considered and may include

a) loss of refrigeration,

b) heat input due to pump recirculation,

c) evaporation due to ambient heat input,

d) rollover,

e) overpressure of the annular space of a double-wall tank.

5.2.2.2 Loss of refrigeration

Loss of refrigeration can result in overpressure. Calculation of the relief loads depends on the type of refrigeration system and the extent of the equipment failure. For the loss-of-refrigeration scenario, all credible simultaneous heat inputs to the system shall be considered. See ISO 23251 for assumptions for calculating these relief loads.

5.2.2.3 Heat input due to pump recirculation

Heat input due to pump recirculation can cause vapourization, which requires relief. Normally, this is included in the design of the refrigeration system. If the pump recirculation is not included in the design of the refrigeration system, then this can be a relief scenario.

5.2.2.4 Evaporation due to ambient heat input

Vapours generated due to heat input into the storage tank from the ground and ambient conditions are normally included in the design of the refrigeration system. If this is not the case, then this vapour load can be a relief scenario.

5.2.2.5 Rollover

Ambient heat input into storage tanks that can have a stratification due to different product gravities (resulting from compositional and/or temperature differences) can result in a sudden mixing or “rollover” that leads to rapid vapourization. There are no generally recognized methods available for rigorously calculating the relief load for this scenario (EN 1473, however, provides guidelines for establishing rollover-relief loads if no model is used). Proper design and operation of the storage system to avoid stratification are typically relied on to prevent this scenario. If the rollover potential exists and the design and operating safeguards are not adequate to avoid this scenario, then the user shall consider overpressure due to rollover.

5.2.2.6 Overpressure of the annular space of a double-wall tank

For full containment, double-wall tanks, the introduction of a refrigerated product into the annular tank space and subsequent vapourization of that product can result in overpressure of that annular tank space. Introduction of refrigerated product can occur due to leakage or overfilling leading to spillage into the annular space. The instantaneous vapourization of the refrigerated product entering the annular space shall be considered.

A hole having a diameter of 20 mm in the bottom course of the tank shell may be assumed for the purposes of calculating leakage rates for overpressure protection. See EN 14620 (all parts) for more information.

5.2.3 Additional guidelines for vacuum

5.2.3.1 General

Other sources of vacuum unique to refrigerated tanks shall be considered and can include maximum refrigeration loads (see 5.2.3.2).

5.2.3.2 Maximum refrigeration loads

Refrigeration systems are designed either to cool the liquid contents or condense the tank vapours. The user should, as a minimum, evaluate the case where the refrigeration system is operated at maximum conditions with minimum normal heat and/or vapour generation gain within the storage tank (e.g. maximum refrigeration with no liquid flow into the tank and minimum heat gain from the environment).

5.3 Relief-device specification

The methods described in 4.6 are applicable to refrigerated storage tanks.

5.4 Installation of venting devices

5.4.1 General

The methods described in 4.7 are applicable to refrigerated storage tanks, except as modified below.

5.4.2 Installation of pressure- and vacuum-relief devices

The devices shall keep cold vapour from producing a thermal gradient in the roof of the tank or reducing the temperature in the roof of the tank. For a tank with the suspended-deck-type roof insulation system, the inlet piping to the relief valve shall penetrate the suspended deck to prevent cold vapour from entering the warm space between the outer roof and the suspended deck. The influence of this piping shall be considered in the relief valve capacity calculations. Relief valves should be sized for the pressure available across the valve. Consideration should be given to the inlet pressure losses and the back-pressure developed on the outlet flange.

5.4.3 Discharge piping

5.4.3.1 Discharge piping from the relief devices or common discharge headers shall be arranged to discharge to open air unobstructed so that any impingement of escaping cold gas upon the container and any roof-mounted items is prevented.

5.4.3.2 A venting-device discharge stack or vent shall be designed and installed to prevent water, ice, snow or other foreign matter from accumulating and obstructing the flow. The discharge shall be directed upwards when relieving to the atmosphere. Independent support of the vertical stack should be considered. Provisions shall be made to reduce the thermal effects on the container and any roof-mounted items caused by the ignition of vapour from the relief valve discharge stack.

6 Testing of venting devices

6.1 General

Establish the flow capacity of pressure/vacuum valves by one of the methods described in 6.3. Perform the tests using test facilities, methods and procedures meeting the requirements of 6.2 and national regulations (e.g. ASME PTC 25). These methods shall apply to pressure and/or vacuum valves (end-of-line valves and in-line valves). These methods may apply to free vents (open vents having screens and weather caps).

The test report shall describe how the venting device is mounted and tested as well as describe the inlet and outlet piping. If any fluid other than air is used in the test, the name of the fluid actually used along with the fluid's temperature and its specific gravity at standard conditions shall be noted in the test report.

If pressure and/or vacuum devices are combined with flame arresters, carry out the tests with the combined devices.

Carry out the tests with air or other suitable gases.

Convert test results with other fluids or different conditions to air at the conditions specified below.

Flow-capacity curves or equations shall refer to air at one of the following sets of conditions:

— normal conditions: temperature, 0 °C (32 °F); pressure, 101,3 kPa (1,013 bar; 14,69 psi); density, 1,29 kg/m^3 (0,080 lb/ft^3);

— standard conditions: temperature, 15,6 °C (60 °F); pressure, 101,3 kPa (1,013 bar 14,69 psi); density, 1,22 kg/m^3 (0,076 lb/ft^3);

— temperature, 20 °C (68 °F); pressure, 101,3 kPa (1,013 bar; 14,69 psi); density, 1,20 kg/m^3 (0,075 lb/ft^3).

6.2 Flow-test apparatus

6.2.1 General

The test apparatus shown in Figure 1 is suitable for free vents, end-of-line venting devices and in-line devices.



Key

1 test medium supply (e.g. blower or fan)

2 calibrated flow-measuring device

3 test tank

4 calibrated measuring device(s) for pressure and vacuum

5 temperature-measuring device

6 barometer: measuring device for atmospheric pressure

7 device to be tested

8 pipe-away, if fitted

9 atmospheric-temperature- and dew-point-measuring devices

l_{con} length of connecting pipe (straight pipe nipple)

a Pressure and vacuum measurement may be achieved with separate instruments.

Figure 1 — Test apparatus for flow testing of venting devices

6.2.2 Test medium supply

The test medium supply (Figure 1, key item 1) shall be a blower or a fan or other sources of energy.

6.2.3 Flow-measuring device

Calibrate the flow-measuring device (Figure 1, key item 2) in accordance with the manufacturer's quality system, but at a minimum every five years and in accordance with national regulations.

6.2.4 Test tank

For the test tank (Figure 1, key item 3), take the following into account.

a) Flow velocity within the tank shall be $\leq$ 2,0 m/s; configure the test tank to prevent high-velocity jets from impinging on the pressure-measuring device (Figure 1, key item 4) or on the venting device (Figure 1, key item 7) or from creating pressure differentials within the tank.

b) Pulsations that can possibly be generated by the test medium supply shall be dampened to avoid errors in flow metering.

c) In order to minimize the effect of entrance losses, mount the venting device being tested (Figure 1, key item 7) on top of the test tank.

d) Mount the venting device on a straight-pipe that has the same nominal diameter as the test device, and a length, l_{con}, of 1,5 times the nominal diameter; it shall be placed vertically with its end flush with the inside of test tank.

e) For testing vacuum valves, reverse the flow direction, i.e. air is drawn through the test device into the test tank.

6.2.5 Pressure/vacuum-measuring device

Calibrate the pressure- and vacuum-measuring devices (Figure 1, key item 4) in accordance with the manufacturer's quality system and national regulations.

6.2.6 Temperature-measuring device

Calibrate the devices for measuring the temperature (Figure 1, key items 5 and 9) in accordance with the manufacturer's quality system and national regulations.

6.2.7 Barometer

The barometer (Figure 1, key item 6) is used to measure atmospheric pressure.

6.3 Method for determining capacities

6.3.1 Open vents

Starting with zero flow, measure the tank pressure or vacuum in five equal steps up to the maximum value of 5 kPa (0,725 psi).

6.3.2 Pressure and vacuum valves

6.3.2.1 Flow-curve method

Measure the flow-capacity curves for each type of device and for every nominal size.

Test each venting device at its minimum design pressure and vacuum set points and at the highest design pressure and vacuum set points of the device or the limits of the flow-testing facility, whichever is greater. Test at least three intermediate settings, including those given in paragraph four of this subclause, for both vacuum and pressure. Make incremental flow-rate changes sufficient for establishing a flow-capacity curve for each vacuum or pressure set point. These data may also be used to establish a flow-capacity curve for set pressures or vacuums greater than the maximum values tested, provided it can be demonstrated that the extrapolation of the data is valid.

Start measuring the tank pressure or vacuum at the corresponding adjusted valve setting (zero flow) and continue in appropriate steps until the maximum value or fully open position is reached.

The volume flow should be measured at tank pressures of 1,1 times, 1,2 times, 1,5 times and 2 times the adjusted set pressure or vacuum. If the fully open position of the valve disk is not achieved at two times the adjusted valve setting, additional measuring points are required until the fully open position is reached.

If at least three measuring points are determined after the valve has reached its fully open position, the curves may be extrapolated for higher pressure or vacuum.

Plot the capacity curves for volume flow against tank pressure or vacuum (flow-rate/pressure curves, flow-rate/vacuum curves) or present the data in tables that show the flow relative to the tank pressure. Express pressures in kilopascals (bars, millibars, millimetres of water, ounces per square inch, pounds per square inch or inches of water).

State the overpressure or set pressure.

NOTE The flow-capacity curves apply for clean devices; conditions, such as device fouling, that can reduce capacity are not considered.

6.3.2.2 Coefficient of discharge method

6.3.2.2.1 Specific design of three or more sizes

For different devices having a specific design with geometrically similar flow paths, a coefficient of discharge can be established for the range of venting devices by using the following procedure. The testing results can be extrapolated to include valves either smaller or larger than the valves used in the test programme, providing that geometric similarity exists between the tested device and the predicted device.

Geometric similarity can be said to exist when the ratios of flow path and the dimensions of parts that can affect the overall thrust exercised by the medium on the moving parts within the relief device are scaled with the corresponding dimensions of the valves used in the capacity testing.

Test at least three devices for each of three different sizes (a total of nine devices), each at a different pressure. At least one of the test pressures shall be the minimum design pressure or vacuum for the design and one of the test pressures shall be the maximum design pressure or vacuum. The other test pressures shall be evenly distributed between the minimum and maximum design pressures. All of the test pressures shall be those where lift of the seat disk is sufficient for the nozzle to control the flow or where the seat disk lifts to a fixed stop.

Determine the coefficient of discharge, K, of the device for each test as given by Equation (20):

$$K = \frac{q_{\mathrm{a}}}{q_{\mathrm{th}}} \qquad (20)$$

where

q_{a} is the test flow rate;

q_{th} is the theoretical flow rate, determined in consistent units: expressed in SI units of normal cubic metres per hour of the test medium (typically air) as given by Equation (21) or in USC units of SCFH of the test medium (typically air) as given by Equation (22):

$$q_{\mathrm{th}} = 125{,}15\, p_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot A_{\mathrm{min}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot T_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{o}}}{p_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_{\mathrm{o}}}{p_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad (21)$$

where

A_{min} is the minimum flow area of the device, expressed in square centimetres;

p_{i} is the absolute pressure at device inlet, expressed in kilopascals;

p_{o} is the absolute pressure at device outlet, expressed in kilopascals;

k is the ratio of specific heats of the test medium at the test conditions;

T_{i} is the absolute temperature at device inlet, expressed in kelvin;

M is the relative molecular mass of the test medium;

Z_i is the compressibility factor evaluated at inlet conditions (if unknown, use $Z_i = 1{,}0$).

$$q_{th} = 278\,700 p_i \cdot A_{min} \sqrt{\frac{k}{M \cdot T_i \cdot Z_i \cdot (k-1)} \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}} \right]} \quad (22)$$

where

A_{min} is the minimum flow area of the device, expressed in square inches;

p_i is the absolute pressure at device inlet, expressed in pounds force per square inch;

p_o is the absolute pressure at device outlet, expressed in pounds force per square inch;

k is the ratio of specific heats of the test medium at test conditions;

T_i is the absolute temperature at device inlet, expressed in degrees Rankine;

M is the relative molecular mass of the test medium;

Z_i is the compressibility factor evaluated at inlet conditions (if unknown, use $Z_i = 1{,}0$).

Plot a best-fit curve of the coefficient of discharge of the devices tested versus the absolute pressure ratio across each device. All measured coefficients shall fall within ± 5 % of the curve (see Figure 2). Calculate the flow capacity for any pressure within the test pressure range by multiplying the theoretical flow for that pressure ratio by 95 % of the corresponding coefficient of discharge for that pressure ratio as determined by the best-fit curve.



Key

X absolute pressure ratio, p_o/p_i

Y coefficient of discharge, K

1 best-fit curve of measured coefficients

2 maximum limit (105 % of best-fit curve values)

3 minimum limit (95 % of best-fit curve values)

4 valve coefficient of discharge for published capacity

Figure 2 — Typical ratio limits for capacity testing of venting devices using the coefficient of discharge method

6.3.2.2.2 Individual valve

A coefficient of discharge can be established for each size of device by using the following procedure.

Test four devices for each combination of pipe size and orifice size, each at a different pressure. At least one of the test pressures shall be the minimum design pressure or vacuum and one of the test pressures shall be the maximum design pressure or vacuum. Distribute the other test pressures evenly between the minimum and maximum design pressures. All of the test pressures shall be those where lift of the seat disk is sufficient for the nozzle to control the flow or where the seat disk lifts to a fixed stop.

Determine the coefficient of discharge for each device as described in 6.3.2.2.1. Plot a best-fit curve of the coefficient of discharge of the devices tested versus the absolute pressure ratio across each device. All measured coefficients shall fall within $\pm$ 5 % of the curve. Calculate the flow capacity for any pressure within the test pressure range by multiplying the theoretical flow described in 6.3.2.2.1 for that pressure by 95 % of the corresponding coefficient of discharge for that pressure ratio as determined by the best-fit curve.

6.3.3 Calculation method — Manhole covers

The flow capacity at any pressure in which the full lift of a manhole occurs can be calculated by multiplying the theoretical flow described in 6.3.2.2.1 by 0,5.

6.4 Production testing

6.4.1 General

The manufacturer shall test leak rate and the adjusted set pressure for each pressure/vacuum relief device.

Testing shall be performed on test stands that meet the following conditions.

a) The valve shall be connected to an accumulator tank sized to minimize the impact of dynamic effects.

b) The pressure drop between the accumulator and the test valve shall be negligible.

c) The pressure shall be measured in the accumulator tank.

d) The maximum flow rate of the gas supply to the accumulator tank shall be greater than the specified PV leak criteria and substantially less than the capacity of the PV.

e) The flange on which the vent is mounted shall be level.

6.4.2 Leak-rate test

Verify the maximum leak rate for pressure/vacuum vents by one of the following methods.

a) The measured leak rate shall be less than the value specified in Table 10 at 75 % of the adjusted set pressure.

b) The measured inlet pressure shall be greater than 75 % of the adjusted set pressure at the maximum leak rate specified in Table 10.

Table 10 — Maximum allowable leak rates

Vent size mm (in)	**Maximum allowable leak rate** m^3/h (CFH)
≤ 150 (6)	0,014 2 (0,5)
200 to 400 (8 to 12)	0,141 6 (5,0)
> 400 (12)	0,566 3 (20,0)

If greater seat tightness is required, the purchaser shall specify this in the purchase order.

For pilot-operated valves, the maximum leak rate shall be in accordance with ISO 4126-4.

6.4.3 Method of determining adjusted set pressure

The flow-test apparatus shall limit the maximum flow rate into the accumulator such that a drop in the pressure measured at the accumulator tank can be observed when the relief device set pressure is reached. Given this, for pressure (vacuum) vents, the adjusted set pressure shall be the pressure at which any further increase in flow rate no longer causes a rise or fall in pressure.

For pilot-operated valves, the adjusted pressure shall be in accordance with ISO 4126-4.

7 Manufacturer's documentation and marking of venting devices

7.1 Documentation

A certificate shall be issued by the manufacturer or supplier of the venting equipment recording the set pressure, the set vacuum and the flow rate at the indicated overpressure or the tank design pressure, and tank design vacuum.

It is recommended that the flow rate/pressure loss diagram (flow capacity curve) or coefficient of discharge for the relief valve also be supplied.

7.2 Marking

7.2.1 General requirements

Each venting device (open vents, pressure and/or vacuum valves or pilot-operated valve) shall be marked with all the required data. The marking shall be stamped onto, etched in, impressed on or cast in the valve or on a plate(s) securely fastened to the valve.

7.2.2 Open vents

The marking shall include the following as a minimum:

a) name or identifying trademark of the manufacturer;

b) manufacturer's design or type number;

c) pipe size of the device inlet;

d) rated capacity for the tank design pressure and tank design internal negative pressure, in normal cubic metres per hour (SCFH) of air.

7.2.3 Pressure-relief valves

The marking shall include the following as a minimum:

a) name or identifying trademark of the manufacturer;

b) manufacturer's design or type number;

c) pipe size of the device inlet;

d) set pressure, in kilopascals (millibars, pounds per square inch, ounces per square inch or inches of water column);

e) rated capacity at the indicated relieving pressure, in normal cubic metres per hour (SCFH) of air;

f) relieving pressure.

7.2.4 Vacuum-relief valves

The marking shall include the following as a minimum:

a) name or identifying trademark of the manufacturer;

b) manufacturer's design or type number;

c) pipe size of the device inlet;

d) set vacuum in kilopascals (millibars, pounds per square inch, ounces per square inch or inches of water column);

e) rated capacity at the indicated relieving vacuum, in normal cubic metres per hour (SCFH) of air;

f) relieving vacuum.

7.2.5 Combined pressure/vacuum relief valves

Each combined pressure/vacuum relief valve shall be marked in the manner described in 7.2.3 and 7.2.4.

7.2.6 Venting devices with flame arresters

For venting devices combined with flame arresters or detonation arresters, or with an integrated flame arrester or detonation arrester elements, the marking required in ISO 16852 shall be added.

Annex A
(informative)

Alternative calculation of normal venting requirements

A.1 General

A.1.1 This annex provides a calculation approach that may be used to design protection systems for the normal venting requirements of petroleum storage tanks.

A.1.2 These venting requirements are based on specific boundary conditions that may be applied to typical storage tanks holding petroleum or petrochemical fluids. The following boundary conditions and assumptions are used in the venting requirements given in this annex.

— The tank is uninsulated.

— For tanks containing volatile liquids, the volatility characteristics are similar to petrol (gasoline), and the temperature of the liquid fed to the tank is less than the boiling-point temperature at the maximum operating pressure of the tank.

— The maximum operating temperature of the vapour space of the tank is approximately 48,9 °C (120 °F).

— The effect of the cooling of the vapour space is the contraction of the vapours within the vapour space.

NOTE For tanks containing vapours that can condense upon cooling, the temperature of the liquid within the tank is not expected to change as rapidly; therefore, the vapour pressure is expected to be maintained by the evaporation of the liquid. The condensation of vapours can be significant when little or no bulk liquid exists in the tank, such as during steam-out, and the calculation methodology given in this annex is not valid for the additional volume change caused by the condensation of vapours.

— The volume of the tank is less than 30 000 m^3 (180 000 bbl).

A.1.3 For the design of protection systems for normal venting requirements for installations not satisfying the boundary conditions and assumptions in A.1.2, the user should refer to the body of this International Standard for more appropriate requirements and recommendations.

A.2 Experience

A.2.1 Some tank failures/damage due to vacuum have occurred with petroleum storage tanks, with their root causes involving one or more of the following; see Reference [20]:

— cooling of the vapour space at elevated temperatures, i.e. significantly greater than 48,9 °C (120 °F);

— condensation of vapours within the tank due to cooling heat transfer, such as condensation of the steam after a steam-out operation;

— restriction or blockage of air flow, such as blockage of the vents by a plastic bag.

A.2.2 Operational experience with petroleum or petrochemical fluid storage tanks indicates that tank failures/damage due to vacuum have not been caused by inadequate venting when that relief system is designed using the guidance in this annex. The following factors, however, may have also contributed to this operational experience.

— Petroleum storage tanks are usually not operated completely empty.

— Total venting requirements include liquid movement, which can be significant for common petroleum storage tanks, and this might not occur simultaneously with a cooling event.

— Smaller enclosed spaces can actually exhibit heat-transfer rates that are lower than those assumed by these guidelines.

— An increasing number of large-capacity floating-roof tanks are being used.

— An increasing number of fixed-roof tanks are being installed with pad gas systems that provide an additional measure of venting compensation.

For these reasons, any design that does not reflect a typical petroleum storage tank should not be designed in accordance with this annex.

A.3 Normal venting requirements

A.3.1 General

A.3.1.1 Normal venting requirements shall be at least the sum of the venting requirements for liquid movement and for thermal effects. These normal venting requirements are based on the maximum expected venting that can occur during normal operation of the tank and are given for the following conditions:

a) normal inbreathing resulting from the maximum outflow of liquid from the tank (liquid-transfer effects);

b) normal inbreathing resulting from contraction or condensation of vapours caused by maximum decrease in vapour-space temperature (thermal effects);

c) normal out-breathing resulting from maximum inflow of liquid into the tank and maximum vapourization caused by such inflow (liquid-transfer effects);

d) normal out-breathing resulting from expansion and vapourization that result from the maximum increase in vapour-space temperature (thermal effects).

A.3.1.2 Although design guidelines are not presented in this annex for other circumstances, they should, nonetheless, be considered as indicated in the body of this International Standard.

A.3.1.3 A summary of the venting requirements for inbreathing and out-breathing due to liquid movement out of and into a tank and the thermal effects are shown in Tables A.1 and A.2. These requirements are discussed in A.3.4.1 and A.3.4.2.

The out-breathing requirements in this International Standard are for air at normal or standard conditions. The user shall correct the out-breathing requirements to normal or standard conditions for heated (insulated) and/or pressurized tanks at pressures greater than 6,9 kPa (1 psi).

A.3.1.4 It is important to note that the reference temperature for standard conditions [15,6 °C (60 °F)] is not the same as the reference temperature for normal conditions [0 °C (32 °F)]. The conversion between standard and normal conditions has been incorporated when reporting the results in the different unit systems. The user is cautioned that the volumetric rates reported in the different unit systems might not appear to be equivalent because of this temperature conversion.

Table A.1 — Normal venting requirements
(expressed in SI units)

Dimensions in Nm^3/h of air per m^3/h of liquid flow

Flash point/ boiling point [a] °C	Inbreathing		Out-breathing	
	Liquid movement out	Thermal	Liquid movement in	Thermal
Flash point ≥ 38	0,94	[b]	1,01	[b]
Boiling point ≥ 150	0,94	[b]	1,01	[b]
Flash point < 38	0,94	[b]	2,02	[b]
Boiling point < 150	0,94	[b]	2,02	[b]

[a] Data on either flash point or boiling point may be used. Where both are available, use the flash point.

[b] See Table A.3.

Table A.2 — Normal venting requirements
(expressed in USC units)

Dimensions in SCFH of air per CFH of liquid flow

Flash point/ boiling point [a] °F	Inbreathing		Out-breathing	
	Liquid movement out	Thermal	Liquid movement in	Thermal
Flash point ≥ 100	5,6	[b]	6	[b]
Boiling point ≥ 300	5,6	[b]	6	[b]
Flash point < 100	5,6	[b]	12	[b]
Boiling point < 300	5,6	[b]	12	[b]

[a] Data on either flash point or boiling point may be used. Where both are available, use the flash point.

[b] See Table A.4.

Table A.3 — Normal venting requirements for thermal effects
(expressed in SI units)

Tank capacity	**Inbreathing**	**Out-breathing**	
Column 1 [a]	Column 2 [b]	Column 3 [c]	Column 4 [d]
m^3	Nm^3/h of air	Flash point ≥ 37,8 °C or normal boiling point ≥ 149 °C Nm^3/h of air	Flash point < 37,8 °C or normal boiling point < 149 °C Nm^3/h of air
10	1,69	1,01	1,69
20	3,38	2,02	3,38
100	16,9	10,1	16,9
200	33,8	20,3	33,8
300	50,4	30,4	50,4
500	84,5	50,7	84,5
700	118	71,0	118
1 000	169	101	169
1 500	254	152	254
2 000	338	203	338
3 000	507	304	507
3 180	537	322	537
4 000	647	388	647
5 000	787	472	787
6 000	896	538	896
7 000	1 003	602	1 003
8 000	1 077	646	1 077
9 000	1 136	682	1 136
10 000	1 210	726	1 210
12 000	1 345	807	1 345
14 000	1 480	888	1 480
16 000	1 615	969	1 615
18 000	1 750	1 047	1 750
20 000	1 877	1 126	1 877
25 000	2 179	1 307	2 179
30 000	2 495	1 497	2 495

[a] Interpolation is allowed for intermediate tank capacities. Tanks with a capacity of more than 30 000 m^3 are not covered by this annex. The values in this column are not derived by conversion of Table A.4; instead they are chosen to be close to those volumes in Table A.4 and the venting requirements are based on direct calculations using the volumes chosen.

[b] For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

[c] For stocks with a flash point of 37,8 °C or above, the out-breathing requirement has been assumed to be 60 % of the inbreathing requirement. For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

[d] For stocks with a flash point below 37,8 °C, the out-breathing requirement has been assumed to be equal to the inbreathing requirement to allow for vapourization at the liquid surface and for the higher specific gravity of the tank vapours. For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

Table A.4 — Normal venting requirements for thermal effects
(expressed in USC units)

Tank capacity		Inbreathing	Out-breathing	
Column 1 [a]		Column 2 [b]	Column 3 [c]	Column 4 [d]
			Flash point ≥ 100 °F or normal boiling point ≥ 300 °F	Flash point < 100 °F or normal boiling point < 300 °F
bbl	gal	SCFH air	SCFH air	SCFH air
60	2 500	60	40	60
100	4 200	100	60	100
500	21 000	500	300	500
1 000	42 000	1 000	600	1 000
2 000	84 000	2 000	1 200	2 000
3 000	126 000	3 000	1 800	3 000
4 000	168 000	4 000	2 400	4 000
5 000	210 000	5 000	3 000	5 000
10 000	420 000	10 000	6 000	10 000
15 000	630 000	15 000	9 000	15 000
20 000	840 000	20 000	12 000	20 000
25 000	1 050 000	24 000	15 000	24 000
30 000	1 260 000	28 000	17 000	28 000
35 000	1 470 000	31 000	19 000	31 000
40 000	1 680 000	34 000	21 000	34 000
45 000	1 890 000	37 000	23 000	37 000
50 000	2 100 000	40 000	24 000	40 000
60 000	2 520 000	44 000	27 000	44 000
70 000	2 940 000	48 000	29 000	48 000
80 000	3 360 000	52 000	31 000	52 000
90 000	3 780 000	56 000	34 000	56 000
100 000	4 200 000	60 000	36 000	60 000
120 000	5 040 000	68 000	41 000	68 000
140 000	5 880 000	75 000	45 000	75 000
160 000	6 720 000	82 000	50 000	82 000
180 000	7 560 000	90 000	54 000	90 000

[a] Interpolation is allowed for intermediate tank capacities. Tanks with a capacity of more than 180 000 bbl are not covered by this annex.

[b] For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

[c] For stocks with a flash point of 100 °F or above, the out-breathing requirement has been assumed to be 60 % of the inbreathing requirement. For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

[d] For stocks with a flash point below 100 °F, the out-breathing requirement has been assumed to be equal to the inbreathing requirement to allow for vapourization at the liquid surface and for the higher specific gravity of the tank vapours. For information regarding the basis for these calculations, refer to A.3.3.

A.3.2 Liquid movement

A.3.2.1 The rate of change in volume caused by liquid movement shall be considered in the determination of the normal venting requirements. The primary sources of these changes in volume are the following:

— actual volumetric displacement by the movement of liquid into or out of the tank;

— generation of vapours by volatile liquids entering the tank, if applicable.

A.3.2.2 For the actual volumetric displacement caused by the movement of the liquid, the volumetric rate of movement of the liquid, usually by means of pumping, is used to calculate the venting requirements.

It is important to note that the change of volume is commonly converted to equivalent volumetric rates in terms of air at standard or normal conditions. As a result, the volumetric rates might not appear to be an equivalent displacement especially when assuming operating temperature or ambient temperatures that are not equivalent to standard or normal conditions.

A.3.2.3 For the generation of vapours caused by volatile liquids entering the tank, the amount of vapour generated should be estimated for the calculation of venting requirements.

For typical petroleum fluids, a liquid having a flash point less than 38,7 °C (100 °F) may be considered volatile. In the absence of flash point characteristics, the atmospheric boiling point may be used. In this case, a liquid having a boiling point less than 148,9 °C (300 °F) may be considered a volatile liquid.

For typical petroleum fluids, the vapour generation rate may be estimated as 0,5 % of the incoming liquid. The evaporation rate of approximately 0,5 % is selected on the basis of gasoline being pumped into an essentially empty tank. During this period, heat pickup is considered to be at a maximum. Also, any vapour flashing as a result of hot line products (for example the pipeline being exposed to the sun) is the most critical at this time, since there is no large heat sink such as that which exists in a full tank. In addition, vapourization is increased since there is essentially no tank pressure to suppress vapourization. For conversion of hydrocarbon vapour to air, a density of 1,5 times that of air is arbitrarily selected.

Significantly higher vapourization rates can occur if the liquid feed to the tank has a temperature above the boiling point at the operating pressure of the tank. For instance, with hexane, 0,4 % of the feed can vapourize for every 0,6 K (1,0 °R) above the boiling point at the tank pressure.

A.3.2.4 Note that protection against liquid overfilling is not covered in this annex.

A.3.3 Thermal effects

A.3.3.1 Changes in volume caused by thermal effects shall be considered in the determination of the normal venting requirements. The primary sources of these changes in volume are the following:

— changes in ambient temperatures that result in heat transfer with the vapour space;

— changes in internal liquid temperatures that result in heat transfer with the vapour space.

A.3.3.2 For typical petroleum fluids, the heat transfer with the vapour space is not expected to result in condensation of the vapours themselves, especially when the vapour space contains a significant amount of non-condensable gases. The lack of condensation of the vapours during cooling is an essential assumption in the application of the guidance in this annex.

A.3.3.3 In many situations, the rapid cooling caused by sudden changes in ambient conditions is a controlling case for the heat transfer to the vapour space within the tank. The rate of change in volume is maximized at the maximum vapour-space volume and the maximum operating temperature; therefore, the tank is considered to be empty and at its maximum operating temperature for this calculation.

It was established that, in the southwestern United States, tanks can be cooled rapidly, as happens when a sudden rainstorm occurs on a hot, sunny day. For vacuum conditions, it was found that roof plates can be cooled by as much as 33 K (60 °R) and that shell plates can be cooled by approximately 17 K (30 °R).

Heat transfer occurs from the vapour space in the tank to the cooled surfaces, which may be treated as isothermal surfaces as the rainwater is expected to provide sufficient cooling on the exterior surfaces of the tank. The heat transfer from the vapour space may be characterized by free convection. The heat-transfer coefficient is the key variable in this calculation, yet it is both difficult to predict accurately and imprecise as the choice of correlations used to establish the heat-transfer coefficient is very dependent on the fluids, physical configurations and scales involved.

The cooling of the vapour space may be based solely on a maximum heat-transfer rate or a maximum temperature change rate. With the inherent uncertainty in the heat-transfer coefficient, the use of these two boundary conditions is not expected to introduce any additional unacceptable uncertainties.

A maximum heat-transfer rate of 63 W/m^2 (20 Btu/h·ft^2) may be used as a boundary condition.

A maximum temperature change rate of 56 K/h (100 °R/h) may be used as a boundary condition.

The volumetric rate of change, $\dot{V}$, due to thermal effects can be calculated using Equations (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3).

$$\dot{V} = \frac{n \cdot R_{\mathrm{g}}}{p} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{V_{\mathrm{tk}}}{T_0} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}\tau} \tag{A.2}$$

$$\dot{V} = \frac{R_{\mathrm{g}}}{p} \cdot \frac{h \cdot A_{\mathrm{exp}} \cdot \Delta T}{C_p} \tag{A.3}$$

where

n is the number of moles initially in the tank vapour space;

R_{g} is the ideal gas constant;

p is the pressure within the tank, which is typically assumed to be atmospheric pressure for the purposes of calculation;

T is temperature;

τ is time;

T_0 is the initial temperature, which is assumed to be 48,9 °C (120 °F);

ΔT is the maximum temperature differential, calculated as $T_0 - T_{\mathrm{w}}$;

T_{w} is the wall temperature, which is assumed to be 15,6 °C (60 °F);

h is the heat-transfer coefficient;

A_{exp} is the exposed surface area;

C_p is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure;

V_{t} is the tank volume.

For tanks smaller than 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl), the venting requirement due to thermal contraction is limited by the maximum temperature change of 56 K/h (100 °R/h) in the tank's vapour space. Using an initial temperature of 48,9 °C (120 °F), the venting requirement is approximately equal to 0,19 m^3/h of air per cubic metre (1 ft^3/h of air per barrel) of empty tank volume.

For tanks equal to, or larger than, 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl), the venting requirement due to thermal contraction is limited by the heat-transfer rate of 63 W/m^2 (20 Btu/h·ft^2). The venting rates shown in Tables A.3 and A.4 for tanks larger than 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl) are determined by first calculating the venting rate for the largest tank shown. The venting rate for a 30 000 m^3 (180 000 bbl) tank assumes a surface area of 4 324 m^2 (45 000 ft^2), a heat-transfer rate of 63 W/m^2 (20 Btu/h·ft^2), an initial temperature of 48,9 °C (120 °F) and fluid properties of air as the typical gas in the vapour space of the tank at atmospheric pressure. The calculated venting requirement is approximately equal to 0,61 m^3/h of air per square metre (2 ft^3/h of air per square foot) of exposed surface area. For the largest tank, this corresponds to a maximum temperature change of 28 K/h (50 °R/h) in the tank's vapour space. The venting rates for tanks with capacities between 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl) and 30 000 m^3 (180 000 bbl) are estimates based on venting rates set by the two tank sizes.

For extremely large tanks having volumes greater than 30 000 m^3 (180 000 bbl) the heat transfer is expected to be more complex than the simplifications presented here, and the user should refer to the main body of this International Standard for more appropriate guidance.

The external ambient conditions are assumed to be at standard conditions of 15,6 °C and 101,3 kPa (60 °F and 14,7 psia) for the purposes of the calculations in the tables above.

A.3.3.4 For heat transfer from ambient conditions resulting in an increase of temperature in the vapour space, the volumetric expansion rate is expected to be much slower than the contraction rate as the heating of ambient air does not occur as rapidly. In these cases, the increase in temperature of the vapour space caused by the liquid temperature can have a greater impact; however, this necessarily means a partially filled tank. In addition, the increase in liquid temperature can result in some vapourization of the residual liquid if that liquid is volatile.

The volumetric expansion rate may be estimated as 60 % of the volumetric contraction rate caused by ambient heat transfer for non-volatile liquids, and may be estimated at 100 % of the volumetric contraction rate for volatile liquids.

In establishing the basis above, it is recognized that the requirements for out-breathing are somewhat conservative; however, some conservatism is believed to be desirable to take into account both unusual climatic conditions and products that can generate more vapour than gasoline generates. Also, the cost involved for a larger venting device is very small, considering the overall cost of a tank. This conservatism also provides some margin of safety if the liquid entry rates slightly exceed the design rates.

A.3.4 Determination of normal venting requirements

A.3.4.1 Inbreathing (vacuum relief)

A.3.4.1.1 The requirement for venting capacity for maximum liquid movement out of a tank should be equivalent to 0,94 Nm^3/h of air per cubic metre (5,6 SCFH of air per barrel) per hour of maximum emptying rate for liquids of any flash point.

This calculation is a direct conversion of US barrels to cubic feet.

A.3.4.1.2 The requirement for venting capacity for thermal inbreathing for a given tank capacity for liquids of any flash point should be at least that shown in column 2 of Table A.3 or Table A.4.

For tanks having a volume less than 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl), this calculation is based on the cooling of an empty tank initially at 48,9 °C (120 °F) at a maximum rate of temperature change of 56 K/h (100 °R/h) and is essentially equivalent to 0,169 Nm^3 per cubic metre (1 SCFH per barrel) of empty tank volume.

For tanks having a volume greater than 3 180 m^3 (20 000 bbl), this calculation is based on an estimated requirement of 0,577 Nm^3/h per square metre (2 SCFH per square foot) of exposed surface area using typical tank sizes for those volumes.

A.3.4.2 Out-breathing (pressure relief)

A.3.4.2.1 Liquids having a flash point of 37,8 °C (100 °F) or above

The requirement for venting capacity for maximum liquid movement into a tank and the resulting vapourization for liquid with a flash point of 37,8 °C (100 °F) or above, or a normal boiling point of 148,9 °C (300 °F) or above, should be equivalent to 1,01 Nm^3/h per cubic metre (6 SCFH of air per barrel) per hour of maximum filling rate.

The requirement for venting capacity for thermal out-breathing, including thermal vapourization, for a given tank capacity for liquid with a flash point of 37,8 °C (100 °F) or above, or a normal boiling point of 148,9 °C (300 °F) or above, should be at least that shown in column 3 of Table A.3 or Table A.4.

This calculation is equivalent to 60 % of the inbreathing requirements for thermal effects.

A.3.4.2.2 Liquids having a flash point below 37,8 °C (100 °F)

The requirement for venting capacity for maximum liquid movement into a tank and the resulting vapourization for liquid with a flash point below 37,8 °C (100 °F) or a normal boiling point below 148,9 °C (300 °F) should be equivalent to 2,02 Nm^3/h per cubic metre (12 SCFH of air per barrel) per hour of maximum filling rate.

The requirement for venting capacity for thermal out-breathing, including thermal vapourization, for a given tank capacity for liquid with a flash point below 37,8 °C (100 °F) or a normal boiling point below 148,9 °C (300 °F) should be at least that shown in column 4 of Table A.3 or Table A.4.

This calculation is equivalent to 100 % of the inbreathing requirements for thermal effects.

Annex B
(informative)

Basis of emergency venting for Tables 7 and 8

The emergency venting requirements contained in the first edition of API RP 2000 are based on the assumption that a tank subjected to fire exposure absorbs heat at an average rate of 18 900 W/m^2 (6 000 Btu/h ft^2) of wetted surface. The minimum emergency relief capacity, given as the approximate diameter of a free circular opening, is computed from the results of a detailed analysis of the distillation characteristics of a typical straight-run gasoline from a US Midcontinent crude oil, using a conventional orifice equation, an orifice coefficient of 0,7 and a vapour specific gravity of 2,5. An emergency venting capacity of 17 400 m^3/h (648 000 ft^3/h) was the maximum required for any tank, regardless of size. This maximum emergency venting capacity is based on the fact that tanks with a capacity of more than 2 780 m^3 (17 500 bbl), when heated, require such a long period of time before their contents reach a temperature at which rapid boiling starts that it is extremely unlikely that this point would ever be reached, and even if it were, there would be ample time to take the necessary precautions to safeguard life and property.

This basis for emergency venting was adopted by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) and has been used successfully for many years. As far as can be determined, except for some containers of unusually small capacities, no case has been recorded in which a tank failed from overpressure because of insufficient emergency venting capacity when vented in accordance with this basis.

A few catastrophic tank ruptures did, however, occur in cases in which the emergency venting was not in accordance with this basis. These tank ruptures focused attention on the emergency venting requirements. Many small-scale fire tests demonstrate that heat inputs of more than 18 900 W/m^2 (6 000 Btu/h·ft^2) of surface can be obtained under ideal conditions; however, large-scale test data were lacking. In June 1961, during fire demonstrations in Tulsa, Oklahoma, a horizontal tank measuring 2,44 m × 7,18 m (8 ft × 26 ft 10 in) was equipped with an emergency venting device sized to limit the internal gauge pressure of the tank to approximately 0,75 kPa (3 in H_2O). Measurements indicated that under exposure to fire, the gauge pressure rose to approximately 11 kPa (44 in H_2O). Based on these tests, it was agreed that emergency venting requirements should be re-examined. As a result of this study, the current basis for heat input under exposure to fire was developed.

Tables 7 and 8 are based on the composite curve shown in Figure B.1, which is composed of four straight-line segments when plotted on log-log graph paper. The curve can be defined in the following manner.

The straight-line segment 1 is drawn between 117 240 W (400 000 Btu/h) at 1,86 m^2 (20 ft^2) of wetted surface area and 1 172 400 W (4 000 000 Btu/h) at 18,6 m^2 (200 ft^2) of wetted surface area. For this portion of the curve, the total heat input, Q_1, expressed in SI units of watts, is given by Equation (B.1) and expressed in USC units of British thermal units per hour by Equation (B.2):

$$Q_1 = 63\ 150 A_{\text{TWS}} \tag{B.1}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square metres.

$$Q_1 = 20\ 000 A_{\text{TWS}} \tag{B.2}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square feet.

The straight-line segment 2 is drawn between 1 172 400 W (4 000 000 Btu/h) at 18,6 m^2 (200 ft^2) of wetted surface area and 2 916 000 W (9 950 000 Btu/h) at 92,9 m^2 (1 000 ft^2) of wetted surface area. For this portion of the curve, the total heat input, Q_2, expressed in SI units of watts, is given by Equation (B.3) and expressed in USC units of British thermal units per hour by Equation (B.4):

$$Q_2 = 224\ 200 \left(A_{\text{TWS}}^{0,566}\right) \tag{B.3}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square metres.

$$Q_2 = 199\ 300\ (A_{TWS}{}^{0,566}) \tag{B.4}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square feet.

The straight-line segment 3 is drawn between 2 916 000 W (9 950 000 Btu/h) at 92,9 m^2 (1 000 ft^2) of wetted surface area and 4 129 700 W (14 090 000 Btu/h) at 260 m^2 (2 800 ft^2) of wetted surface area. For this portion of the curve, the total heat input, Q_3, expressed in SI units of watts, is given by Equation (B.5) and expressed in USC units of British thermal units per hour by Equation (B.6):

$$Q_3 = 630\ 400\ (A_{TWS}{}^{0,338}) \tag{B.5}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square metres.

$$Q_3 = 963\ 400\ (A_{TWS}{}^{0,338}) \tag{B.6}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square feet.

For non-refrigerated tanks designed for gauge pressures of 6,89 kPa (1 psi) and below, with wetted surfaces larger than 260 m^2 (2 800 ft^2), it has been concluded that complete fire involvement is unlikely and loss of metal strength from overheating causes failure in the vapour space before development of the maximum possible rate of vapour evolution. Therefore, additional venting capacity beyond the vapour equivalent of 4 129 700 W (14 090 000 Btu/h) is not effective; see key item 5 in Figure (B.1).

For all refrigerated tanks, regardless of design pressure, and for all non-refrigerated tanks and storage vessels designed for gauge pressures over 6,89 kPa (1 psi), additional venting for exposed surfaces larger than 260 m^2 (2 800 ft^2) is believed to be desirable because, under these storage conditions, liquids are often stored at temperatures close to their boiling points. Therefore, the time required to bring these liquids to the boiling point might not be significant. For these situations, described by the straight-line segment 4, the total heat input, Q_4, expressed in SI units of watts, is given by Equation (B.7) and expressed in USC units of British thermal units per hour by Equation (B.8):

$$Q_4 = 43\ 200\ (A_{TWS}{}^{0,82}) \tag{B.7}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square metres.

$$Q_4 = 21\ 000\ (A_{TWS}{}^{0,82}) \tag{B.8}$$

where A_{TWS} is the area of the wetted surface, expressed in square feet.

The total emergency venting requirements are based on the heat input values described in Equations (B.1) to (B.8). The venting requirements, q, on the assumption that the stored liquids have the characteristics of hexane and the venting occurs at 15,6 °C (60 °F), are derived from the heat input values, Q, as given by Equation (B.9) for SI units, with q expressed in normal cubic metres of air per hour and Q expressed in watts, and by Equation (B.10) for USC units, with q expressed in standard cubic feet of air per hour and Q expressed in British thermal units per hour:

$$q = 14\ 982 \frac{Q}{L \cdot M^{0,5}} \tag{B.9}$$

where

- Q is the total heat input, expressed in watts, as determined from Figure B.1 using the calculated wetted surface, A_{TWS};
- L is the latent heat of vapourization of the liquid at relieving conditions in the tank, expressed in kilojoules per kilogram;

M is the relative molecular mass of the vapour being relieved;

14 982 is the factor to convert the vapourization rate, expressed in kilograms per second (hexane), to the air venting rate, expressed in normal cubic metres per hour.

In Equation (13), the constant 208,2 is derived from Equation (B.9), for Q equal to 43 200 $A_{\text{TWS}}^{0,82}$ W [from Equation (B.7)], L equal to 334 900 J/kg and M (for hexane) equal to 86,17.

In USC units:

$$q = 70{,}5 \frac{Q}{L \cdot M^{0{,}5}} \tag{B.10}$$

where

Q is the total heat input, as determined from Figure B.1 using the calculated wetted surface A_{TWS}, expressed in British thermal units per hour;

L is the latent heat of vapourization of the liquid at relieving conditions in the tank, expressed in British thermal units per pound;

M is the relative molecular mass of the vapour being relieved;

70,5 is the factor for converting pounds per hour of vapour generated to standard cubic feet per hour of air vented.

In Equation (14), the constant 1 107 is derived from Equation (B.10), for Q equal to 21 000 $A_{\text{TWS}}^{0,82}$ Btu/h [from Equation (B.8)], L equal to 144 Btu/lb and M (for hexane) equal to 86,17.

No consideration has been given to possible expansion from heating the vapour above the boiling point of the liquid, the specific heat of the vapour or the difference in density between the discharge temperature and 15,6 °C (60 °F) because some of these changes are compensating.

Because of some concerns expressed about the differences in various methods for determining fire-case venting requirements and a desire to standardize one method, the API subcommittee surveyed approximately one hundred companies from 1993 to 1996. This survey indicates that there is no detectable difference in the level of safety provided by using the fire-sizing methods found in this International Standard, API RP 520, ISO 23251, NFPA documents or other commonly used fire-case venting calculation methods. The API subcommittee abandoned efforts to standardize the industry on one method for determining fire-case venting requirements in 1996.

NOTE For the purposes of this provision, API 521 is equivalent to ISO 23251.



Key

X1 wetted surface area, expressed in square feet

X2 wetted surface area, expressed in square metres

Y1 heat absorption, expressed in British thermal units per hour

Y2 heat absorption, expressed in watts

1 straight-line segment 1: (in SI units) $Q_1 = 63\ 150 A_{\text{TWS}}$; (in USC units) $Q_1 = 20\ 000 A_{\text{TWS}}$

2 straight-line segment 2: (in SI units) $Q_2 = 224\ 200\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,566})$; (in USC units) $Q_2 = 199\ 300\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,566})$

3 straight-line segment 3: (in SI units) $Q_3 = 630\ 400\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,338})$; (in USC units) $Q_3 = 963\ 400\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,338})$

4 straight-line segment 4: (in SI units) $Q_4 = 43\ 200\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,82})$; (in USC units) $Q_4 = 21\ 000\ (A_{\text{TWS}}{}^{0,82})$

5 vapour equivalent of 4 129 700 W (14 090 000 Btu/h), point beyond which additional venting capacity is not effective

NOTE Above 260 m^2 (2 800 ft^2) of wetted surface area, the total heat absorption is considered to remain constant for non-refrigerated tanks below a gauge pressure of 6,89 kPa (1 psi). For non-refrigerated tanks above this pressure, and for all refrigerated tanks, the total heat absorption continues to increase with wetted surface area. This is the reason why the curve splits above 260 m^2 (2 800 ft^2).

Figure B.1 — Curve for determining requirements for emergency venting during fire exposure

Annex C
(informative)

Types and operating characteristics of venting devices

C.1 Introduction

Two basic types of pressure or vacuum vents, direct-acting vent valves and pilot-operated vent valves, are available to provide overpressure or vacuum protection for low-pressure storage tanks. Direct-acting vent valves can be weight-loaded or spring-loaded. These venting devices not only provide overpressure protection but also conserve product. Direct-acting vent valves are sometimes referred to as conservation vents.

Another type of venting device, an open vent, is available to provide overpressure or vacuum protection for storage tanks designed to operate at atmospheric pressure. An open vent is always open. It allows a tank designed to operate at atmospheric pressure to inbreathe and out-breath at any pressure differential. An open vent is usually provided with some type of weather hood or shape that prevents rain or snow from entering the tank (see Figure C.1).



Key

1 tank connection

Figure C.1 — Open vent

C.2 Direct-acting vent valves

C.2.1 Description

Direct-acting vent valves are available to provide pressure relief, vacuum relief, or a combination of pressure and vacuum relief. Combination vent valves may be of a side-by-side configuration (see Figure C.2). Side-by-side vent valves or pressure-relief vent valves are available with flanged outlets for pressure discharge when it is necessary to pipe the pressure-relief vapours away.

Larger, direct-acting vent valves are available to provide emergency relief and can provide access to a tank's interior for inspection or maintenance. They are typically available in sizes from 400 mm (16 in) to 600 mm (24 in) (see Figure C.3). Figure C.4 shows other types and configurations of direct-acting vent valves.

C.2.2 Principle of operation

The principle of operation of a direct-acting vent valve is based on the weight of the pallet or the spring force acting on the pallet to keep the device closed. When tank pressure or vacuum acting on the seat sealing area equals the opposing force acting on the pallet, the venting device is on the threshold of opening. Any further increase in pressure or vacuum causes the pallet to begin to lift off the seat.

An overpressure of 40 % to 60 % is usually required to achieve full lift of a pallet (see Figure C.5). For an application in which full lift of the seat pallet is required for capacity reasons but cannot be obtained because of a pressure limit on the storage tank, a larger venting device or multiple venting devices shall be used at reduced lift and capacity. Several large venting devices instead of many small venting devices are usually preferred to minimize the number of tank penetrations. As an alternative, a set pressure below the maximum allowable working pressure of the tank may be selected to allow full lift.

C.2.3 Seat tightness and blowdown

A soft, nonstick material is typically used on the sealing surface of the pallet. This material can prevent the pallet from sticking to the nozzle.

Seat leakage typically start at 75 % to 90 % of set pressure and varies strongly for the different technologies. The closer a tank gets to the set pressure, the more leakage occurs.

Seat leakage can cause vent valve seats to stick closed if the vapours from the storage tank product polymerize when exposed to atmospheric air or the vapours auto-refrigerate, condense and freeze atmospheric moisture. Purging the seat area with an inert gas, such as nitrogen, or using a heat-traced or steam-jacketed device, can reduce sticking. Some manufacturers provide special technology for polymerizing services, in the knowledge that relief-device heating can increase the risk of polymerization of some monomers (e.g. styrene). Also, special technology (e.g. fermenter) that operates without support energy is available for applications where freezing of atmospheric moisture can be a problem.

Seat leakage can be caused by uneven bolt torque on flanged connections, particularly in large-diameter devices such as weight-loaded emergency venting devices. To prevent this, it is recommended that a minimum flange thickness should be specified for API flanges.

Blowdown is the difference between opening and closing (reseating) pressure of a relief device. This pressure difference is expressed as a pressure or as a percentage of the set pressure. The amount of blowdown varies with the relief-device design. PV blowdown should be evaluated if inert-gas blanketing systems are installed in combination with pressure/vacuum relief valves.

When selecting vent devices for areas with strict fugitive emissions regulations, the maximum leakage requirements during periods of normal tank operation shall be taken into consideration.

C.2.4 Venting device sizes and set pressures

Direct-acting vent valves are typically available in sizes from 50 mm (2 in) to 350 mm (14 in); however, vent valves in a stacked configuration (see Figure C.4) are available in sizes up to 700 mm (28 in).

Typical set pressure ranges for weight-loaded vent valves are up to 6,9 kPa (ga) (16 oz/in^2) and up to a vacuum of − 4,3 kPa (ga) (− 10 oz/in^2). Spring-loaded vent valves should be used for pressure or vacuum settings that exceed these values because the supporting structure and space for the added weights is not available.

Verification of the set pressure of a venting device after it has been installed on a storage tank can be accomplished by increasing the tank pressure or vacuum. To change the set pressure, weights shall be added or removed from the pallet, or a new pallet shall be used, or the spring shall be adjusted (if a spring-loaded vent valve is being used).

C.3 Pilot-operated vent valves

C.3.1 Description

Pilot-operated vent valves are available to provide pressure relief, vacuum relief or a combination of pressure and vacuum relief. Some vent valves can be equipped with flanged outlets if it is necessary to pipe pressure-relief vapours away. Unlike side-by-side direct-acting vent valves, pilot-operated vent valves relieve pressure or vacuum through the same opening to atmosphere (see Figure C.6).

C.3.2 Principle of operation

A pilot-operated vent valve for pressure relief uses tank pressure, not weights or a spring, to keep the vent valve seat closed. The main seat is held closed by tank pressure acting on a large-area diaphragm. This tank pressure covers an area greater than the seat sealing area, so the net pressure force is always in a direction to keep the seat closed. The volume above the diaphragm is called the dome. If the diaphragm fails, the dome pressure decreases and the vent valve opens.

The pilot is a small control valve that continuously senses tank pressure. When the tank pressure increases to set pressure, the pilot actuates to reduce the pressure in the dome volume, the force holding the seat closed is reduced and the seat lifts to permit tank pressure to discharge through the vent valve. When the tank pressure decreases, the pilot closes, the dome volume re-pressurizes and the main seat closes. Two types of pilot actions are available: modulating and snap action. For modulating action, the main vent valve opens gradually with increasing pressure and achieves rated relieving capacity at relieving pressure. Modulating valves reseat very close to the set pressure. For snap action, the main valve opens rapidly at set pressure and achieves rated relieving capacity at relieving pressure.

A pilot-operated vent valve achieves full lift at or below 10 % overpressure (see Figure C.5). This lift characteristic permits overpressure protection to be accomplished with smaller or fewer venting devices. In addition, relative to direct-acting vent valves, pilot-operated vent valves can have a tank-operating pressure closer to the set pressure.

A pilot-operated vent valve for vacuum relief uses atmospheric pressure to keep the seat closed. The force holding the seat closed is equal to the seat sealing area times the pressure differential across the seat. This pressure differential is equal to atmospheric pressure plus the tank vacuum. When the tank vacuum equals the pilot set, the pilot opens to apply tank vacuum to the large dome volume above the diaphragm. Atmospheric pressure acting on the downstream side of the diaphragm forces the diaphragm and seat up. Little or no increase in tank vacuum beyond the vent valve setting is required to obtain full lift of the seat. When the tank vacuum decreases, the pilot closes and atmospheric pressure enters the dome to close the main seat.

If the diaphragm fails, atmospheric air enters the dome and prevents the tank vacuum from creating a force differential to lift the seat. Double-diaphragm vent valves are available to prevent such a failure (see Figure C.7): one diaphragm is for pressure actuation and one is for vacuum actuation. Each diaphragm is isolated and protected from the flow stream and fully supported to minimize stress. The vacuum diaphragm moves only to provide vacuum relief to extend its service life.



Key

1 pressure pallet

2 vacuum pallet

3 tank connection

Figure C.2 — Side-by-side pressure/vacuum vent



Key

1 pressure pallet

2 tank connection

Figure C.3 — Large, weight-loaded emergency vent



a) Weighted-pallet pressure vent flanged outlet

b) Weighted-pallet vacuum vent

c) Spring-loaded pressure vent flanged outlet

d) Spring-loaded vacuum vent

e) Side-by-side, weighted pressure/vacuum vent flanged pressure outlet

f) Side-by-side, spring-loaded pressure weight-loaded vacuum vent

Key

1 tank connection

Figure C.4 — Direct-acting vents



Key

X overpressure, expressed as a percentage

Y capacity at full lift of pallet, expressed as a percentage

1 pilot valve

2 conventional weight-loaded or spring-loaded valve

Figure C.5 — Capacity/overpressure characteristic of vent

C.3.3 Seat tightness and blowdown

All low-pressure pilot vent valves are soft-seated for premium tightness. Unlike in a direct-acting vent valve, the force holding the seat closed in a pilot vent valve increases with increasing pressure. This force is maximum just before the vent valve opens, so leakage does not occur when tank pressure increases or when tank pressure is kept near the set point of the venting device. The force available to open the seat at the set pressure is also maximum, since the force holding the seat closed is removed or reduced when the set pressure is reached. The opening force available is essentially equal to the seat area times the tank pressure.

Blowdown with pilot-operated vent valves is less than with direct-acting vent valves. Blowdown for snap action pilots is typically less than 7 % of the set pressure. Blowdown for modulating pilot valves is typically much less.

C.3.4 Venting-device sizes and set pressures

Low-pressure, pilot-operated vent valves are typically available in sizes from 50 mm (2 in) to 600 mm (24 in). Available set pressures range from 103,4 kPa (ga) (15 psig) to – 101,3 kPa (ga) (– 14,7 psig) vacuum. The minimum opening pressure is typically a 0,5 kPa (ga) (2 in H_2O) or – 0,5 kPa (ga) (– 2 in H_2O) vacuum.



Key

1 pilot

2 dome

3 diaphragm

4 seat

5 pressure probe

6 tank connection

Figure C.6 — Pilot-operated pressure vent (single diaphragm)



Key

1	pilot	8	screen
2	pilot inlet	9	base flange
3	vacuum actuator assembly	10	film seat
4	vacuum diaphragm	11	film protector plate
5	pressure actuator assembly	12	nozzle
6	pressure diaphragm	13	seat plate
7	shield	14	vacuum rod

Figure C.7 — Pilot-operated pressure/vacuum (double diaphragm)

C.3.5 Optional features

Several options are available with a pilot-operated vent valve. For verifying set pressure, a field-test connection can be supplied that permits checking the set pressure with the vent valve installed and pressurized.

A valve to operate the pilot-operated vent valve as a blowdown device can be supplied if depressurizing the storage tank is required. This valve can be operated manually at the vent valve or remotely from a control room.

For installations where inlet piping pressure losses can cause the vent valve to rapid-cycle, the pilot can be equipped to sense tank pressure at a location upstream of the inlet pipe. This option, known as remote sense, prevents the vent valve from rapid-cycling; however, the relieving capacity is reduced because the capacity is dependent upon the pressure at the vent valve inlet.

When particulates in the tank vapours can be a problem, an external, fine-element filter can be supplied for the pilot-pressure sense line. When polymerization of tank vapours in the pilot can be a problem, an inert-gas purge at the pilot-pressure sense line can be supplied to prevent the tank vapours from entering the pilot.

A pilot-operated vent valve can be equipped with a pilot lift lever and a position indicator. A lift lever permits manual operation of the pilot to make sure it is free to operate. Actuation of this lever always opens the main valve if the tank is pressurized. A position indicator is a differential-pressure switch that can be used to signal a control room whether the vent valve is open or closed.

Annex D
(informative)

Basis of sizing equations

D.1 Scope

This annex provides the basis for some of the sizing equations used in this International Standard.

D.2 Standard and normal conditions

In many of the calculations in this International Standard, a set of reference conditions is chosen for expressing the volumetric flow rates of an ideal gas. These are normal conditions or standard conditions, as follows.

— Normal conditions, consisting of a pressure at atmospheric pressure 101,325 kPa (14,696 psia) and a temperature of 0 °C (32 °F), are used for expressions involving SI units. At normal conditions, the molar volume of an ideal gas is 22,414 m^3/kmol.

— Standard conditions, consisting of a pressure at atmospheric pressure 101,325 kPa (14,696 psia) and a temperature of 15,56 °C (60 °F), are used for expressions involving USC units. At standard conditions, the molar volume of an ideal gas is 379,46 ft^3/lb·mol.

It is important to note that the reference temperature for normal conditions (0 °C or 32 °F) is not the same as the reference temperature for standard conditions (15,6 °C or 60 °F). The conversion between normal and standard conditions has been incorporated when reporting the results in the different unit systems. The user is cautioned that the volumetric rates reported in the different unit systems might not appear to be equivalent because of this temperature conversion.

The ratio of the absolute temperatures should be used to convert between the volumetric flows of a free gas (i.e. where the mass or molar flow rate has been converted to an equivalent volumetric flow rate) at either reference condition.

$$\frac{q_{\text{normal}}}{q_{\text{standard}}} = \left(\frac{491{,}67\,°\text{R}}{519{,}67\,°\text{R}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1\ \text{m}^3}{35{,}314\ 7\ \text{ft}^3}\right) = 0{,}026\ 79\ \frac{\text{Nm}^3}{\text{SCF}} \tag{D.1}$$

where

q_{normal} is the volumetric flow at normal conditions, expressed in normal cubic metres per hour;

q_{standard} is the volumetric flow at standard conditions, expressed in standard cubic feet per hour.

NOTE This is equivalent to the conversion between the molar volume of an ideal gas at normal and standard conditions.

$$\frac{q_{\text{normal}}}{q_{\text{standard}}} = \frac{22{,}414\ \text{Nm}^3/\text{kmol}}{379{,}46\ \text{SCF}/\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}} \cdot \left(\frac{1\ \text{kmol}}{2{,}204\ 6\ \text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}}\right) = 0{,}026\ 79\ \frac{\text{Nm}^3}{\text{SCF}} \tag{D.2}$$

Whenever relief requirements are expressed in equivalent volumetric flows of air at a set of reference conditions, the ratio of the square root of the absolute temperatures should be used to convert between the reference conditions. Refer to Clause D.10 for more information.

$$\frac{q_{\text{normal}}}{q_{\text{standard}}} = \frac{22{,}414\,\text{Nm}^3/\text{kmol}}{379{,}46\ \text{SCF}/\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}} \cdot \left(\frac{1\,\text{kmol}}{2{,}204\ 6\ \text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{519{,}67 R_{\text{g}}}{491{,}67 R_{\text{g}}}} = 0{,}027\ 54\ \frac{\text{Nm}^3}{\text{SCF}} \tag{D.3}$$

D.3 Theoretical flow rate for coefficient of discharge method

D.3.1 Theoretical basis

D.3.1.1 The theoretical flow rate presented for the coefficient of discharge method for the testing of venting devices is based on the following assumptions:

a) that the flow-limiting element in a fully opened pressure vent is the nozzle in the body of the vent between the inlet opening and the seating surface, and

b) that the appropriate thermodynamic path for determining the theoretical maximum flow through the nozzle is adiabatic and reversible (i.e. isentropic), a common assumption that has been borne through various experimental evidence for well-formed nozzles.

D.3.1.2 The isentropic nozzle flow assumption provides a standard theoretical framework for the theoretical flow equation. The general energy balance for the isentropic nozzle flow of a homogeneous fluid forms the basis for the calculation of the mass flux (mass flow per unit area), G, through the nozzle, expressed in SI units of kilograms per second per square metre as given by Equation (D.4) and expressed in USC units of pounds per second per square foot as given by in Equation (D.5):

$$G^2 = \left(-2 \cdot \int_{p_{\text{i}}}^{p_{\text{st}}} v \cdot \mathrm{d}p_{\text{st}} \Big/ v_{\text{th}}^2\right)_{\max} \tag{D.4}$$

$$= \left[(\rho_{\text{th}}^2) \cdot \left(-2 \cdot \int_{p_{\text{i}}}^{p_{\text{st}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}p}{\rho}\right)\right]_{\max}$$

where

v is the specific volume of the fluid, expressed in cubic metres per kilogram;

ρ is the mass density of the fluid, expressed in kilograms per cubic metre;

p_{st} is the stagnation pressure of the fluid, expressed in newtons per square metre;

i is the subscript that designates fluid conditions at the inlet to the nozzle;

th is the subscript that designates fluid conditions at the throat of the nozzle where the cross-sectional area is minimized;

max is the subscript that designates the maximization of this calculation, which accounts for potential choking of the fluid.

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{-9\,266{,}1 \cdot \int_{p_\text{i}}^{p_\text{st}} v \cdot \text{d}p_\text{st}}{v_\text{th}^2} \right)_\text{max} \tag{D.5}$$

$$= \left[(\rho_\text{th}^2) \cdot \left(-9\,266{,}1 \cdot \int_{p_\text{i}}^{p_\text{st}} \frac{\text{d}p_\text{st}}{\rho} \right) \right]_\text{max}$$

where

v is the specific volume of the fluid, expressed in cubic feet per pound;

ρ is the mass density of the fluid, expressed in pound per cubic foot;

p_st is the stagnation pressure of the fluid, expressed in pounds per square inch absolute;

i is the subscript that designates fluid conditions at the inlet to the nozzle;

th is the subscript that designates fluid conditions at the throat of the nozzle where the cross-sectional area is minimized;

max is the subscript that designates the maximization of this calculation, which accounts for potential choking of the fluid.

D.4 Isentropic nozzle flow for vapours and gases

D.4.1 For vapours and gases with a constant isentropic expansion coefficient, the expression for the specific volume-to-pressure relationship along an isentropic path is given by Equation (D.6):

$$p_\text{fl} \cdot v^n = p_\text{i} \cdot v_\text{i}^n \tag{D.6}$$

where

v is the specific volume of the fluid, expressed in cubic metres per kilogram (cubic feet per pound);

p_fl is the pressure of the fluid, expressed in pascals (pounds per square inch absolute);

n is the isentropic expansion coefficient.

D.4.2 Determining the isentropic expansion coefficient for a real gas can be complicated because it is a function of both pressure and temperature and, while in most cases it is relatively constant, it can vary throughout the expansion process. The coefficient can generally be obtained from an equation of state that describes the pressure-volume relationship along any thermodynamic path but is restricted to an isentropic expansion path. In the event that the isentropic expansion coefficient is constant, an expression for the isentropic expansion coefficient, n, in terms of thermodynamic state variables can be derived as given in Equation (D.7).

$$n = -\frac{v}{p_\text{fl}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial p_\text{fl}}{\partial v_\text{fl}} \right)_T \cdot \frac{C_p}{C_v} \tag{D.7}$$

where

v is the specific volume of the fluid, expressed in cubic metres per kilogram (cubic feet per pound);

p_fl is the pressure of the fluid, expressed in newtons per square metre (pounds per square inch absolute);

T is the subscript that designates the partial derivative taken at constant temperature;

C_p is the specific heat capacity of the fluid at constant pressure, expressed joules per kilogram·kelvin (British thermal units per pound·degree Fahrenheit);

C_v is the specific heat capacity of the fluid at constant volume, expressed joules per kilogram·kelvin (British thermal units per pound·degree Fahrenheit).

D.4.3 These variables can be evaluated at any point along the isentropic path; however, the inlet conditions are most convenient as the relief temperature is known at this point and the specific heat capacities can be readily obtained.

D.4.4 For vapours and gases that can be considered ideal gases, i.e. that follow the ideal gas law, the expression for the constant isentropic expansion coefficient can be further reduced by deriving the expression for the partial derivative of pressure with respect to specific volume at constant temperature for the ideal gas. The isentropic expansion coefficient for an ideal gas is constant and is the ratio of the ideal-gas-specific heat capacity at constant pressure to the ideal-gas-specific heat capacity at constant volume (i.e. the ideal-gas-specific heat ratio, k), as shown in Equation (D.8).

$$k = -\frac{v}{p_{\mathrm{fl}}} \cdot \left(-\frac{p_{\mathrm{fl}}}{v} \right) \cdot \frac{C_p^*}{C_v^*} = \frac{C_p^*}{C_v^*} \tag{D.8}$$

where

k is the isentropic expansion coefficient for an ideal gas, also referred to as the ideal-gas-specific heat ratio;

p_{fl} is the pressure of the fluid;

v is the specific volume of the fluid;

C_p is the specific heat capacity at constant pressure;

C_v is the specific heat capacity at constant volume;

* represents the ideal gas constant.

Again, this expression can be evaluated at any point along the isentropic path; however, the inlet conditions are most convenient as the relief temperature is known at this point and the specific heat capacities can be readily obtained. It is useful to note that the ideal-gas specific heat ratio is not significantly dependent on temperature (and is not dependent at all on pressure); hence, the ideal-gas-specific heat ratio at standard conditions may be a good estimate in the absence of other information.

D.4.5 For vapours and gases that follow the constant isentropic expansion expression, the isentropic nozzle flux equation (Equation D.4) can be solved analytically to yield the expression shown in Equation (D.9), which is applicable for subcritical or critical flow provided the correct throat pressure is chosen for either case:

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2}{v_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot p_{\mathrm{fl,i}}^{1/n}} \right) \cdot \left(p_{\mathrm{fl,o}}^{2/n} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right) \cdot \left(p_{\mathrm{fl,i}}^{(n-1)/n} - p_{\mathrm{fl,o}}^{(n-1)/n} \right) \tag{D.9}$$

where

n is the isentropic expansion coefficient;

v is the specific volume of the fluid;

p_{fl} is the pressure of the fluid;

i is the subscript that designates conditions at the inlet of the nozzle;

o is the subscript that designates conditions at the throat of the nozzle, equal to the choking conditions if critical flow occurs or to the outlet conditions if subcritical flow occurs.

D.5 Theoretical flow

D.5.1 The following algebraic rearrangements are performed on Equation (D.9) to yield the expression for the theoretical flow shown in Equations (D.17) and (D.18):

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2}{v_i}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{p_i^{1/n}}{p_i^{1/n}}\cdot\frac{p_o^{2/n}}{p_i^{1/n}}\right)\cdot\frac{p_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{p_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}\cdot\left(p_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}} - p_o^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right) \quad \text{(D.10)}$$

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2}{v_i}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{P_i^{1/n}}{1}\cdot\frac{P_o^{2/n}}{P_i^{2/n}}\right)\cdot\frac{P_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{1}\cdot\left(1-\frac{P_o^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{P_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}\right) \quad \text{(D.11)}$$

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2}{v_i}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdot\left[\frac{p_i^{1/n}}{1}\cdot\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{2/n}\right]\cdot\frac{p_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}{1}\cdot\left[1-\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right] \quad \text{(D.12)}$$

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2}{v_i}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdot\left(p_i^{1/n}\cdot p_i^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right)\cdot\left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{2/n} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{2/n}\cdot\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right] \quad \text{(D.13)}$$

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2\cdot p_i}{v_i}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdot\left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{2/n} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right] \quad \text{(D.14)}$$

D.5.2 As the temperature and compressibility factor for vapours and gases can be more readily available than the specific volume, the real gas law, as shown in Equation (D.15), may be used to substitute these variables for the specific volume, as shown in Equation (D.16).

$$v_i = \frac{Z_i\cdot R_g\cdot T_i}{p_i\cdot M} \quad \text{(D.15)}$$

where

p is the pressure of the fluid;

v is the specific volume of the fluid;

Z is the compressibility factor of the fluid;

R_g is the molar ideal gas constant;

T is the temperature of the fluid;

M is the relative molecular mass.

$$G^2 = \left(\frac{2 \cdot p_i^2 \cdot M}{Z_i \cdot R_g \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right] \tag{D.16}$$

Taking the square root of both sides of Equation (D.16) gives Equation (D.17):

$$G = p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.17}$$

D.5.3 Using the definition of the mass flux as the mass flow rate per unit area, the flow through the nozzle, W_{fl}, can be expressed as a mass flow rate, as given in Equation (D.18):

$$W_{fl} = A_{eff} \cdot p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.18}$$

where A_{eff} is the required effective discharge area.

D.5.4 Using the relative molecular mass of the fluid, the mass flow rate can be converted to a molar flow rate, $\dot{N}$, as given by Equations (D.19) to (D.21):

$$\dot{N} \cdot M = A_{eff} \cdot p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.19}$$

$$\dot{N} = A_{eff} \cdot p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{M^2 \cdot Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.20}$$

$$\dot{N} = A_{eff} \cdot p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.21}$$

D.5.5 The molar flow rate can then be expressed in terms of volumetric flow at a specific reference condition.

$$q = x \cdot A_{eff} \cdot p_i \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_g}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_i \cdot T_i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} - \left(\frac{p_o}{p_i}\right)^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right]} \tag{D.22}$$

where

- q is the volumetric flow rate at standard or normal conditions;
- x is the conversion from moles to standard or normal volume.

D.5.6 This equation can be expressed as Equation (D.23) for cases where the isentropic expansion coefficient, n, is estimated by means of the ideal-gas-specific heat ratio, k.

$$q = x \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_\mathrm{g}}} \cdot p_\mathrm{i} \cdot A_\mathrm{eff} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_\mathrm{i} \cdot T_\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad \text{(D.23)}$$

D.6 Derived expressions for SI units

For SI units, the following values and/or units are used, where “normal” conditions refer to 0 °C and 101,325 kPa:

$$x = 22{,}414 \, \frac{\mathrm{Nm}^3}{\mathrm{kmol}}$$

$$R_\mathrm{g} = 8\,314{,}4 \, \frac{\mathrm{Pa} \cdot \mathrm{m}^3}{\mathrm{kmol} \cdot \mathrm{K}}$$

$$q = 22{,}414 \, \frac{\mathrm{Nm}^3}{\mathrm{kmol}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{8\,314{,}4 \, \frac{\mathrm{Pa} \cdot \mathrm{m}^3}{\mathrm{kmol} \cdot \mathrm{K}}}} \cdot p_\mathrm{i} \cdot A_\mathrm{eff} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_\mathrm{i} \cdot T_\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad \text{(D.24)}$$

$$q = 0{,}347\,63 \cdot p_i^{'} \cdot \frac{1\,000 \, \mathrm{Pa}}{1 \, \mathrm{kPa}} \cdot A^{'}_\mathrm{eff} \cdot \frac{1 \, \mathrm{m}^2}{10\,000 \, \mathrm{cm}^2} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_\mathrm{i} \cdot T_\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad \text{(D.25)}$$

$$q = \frac{3\,600 \, \mathrm{s}}{1 \, \mathrm{h}} \cdot 0{,}034\,763 \cdot p_\mathrm{i}^{'} \cdot A^{'} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_\mathrm{i} \cdot T_\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad \text{(D.26)}$$

$$q = 125{,}15 \cdot p_\mathrm{i}^{'} \cdot A^{'}_\mathrm{eff} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M \cdot Z_\mathrm{i} \cdot T_\mathrm{i}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left[\left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}} - \left(\frac{p_\mathrm{o}}{p_\mathrm{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \qquad \text{(D.27)}$$

where

- q is the equivalent volumetric flow rate at normal conditions, expressed in normal cubic metres per hour;
- p is the pressure, expressed in kilopascals;
- T is the temperature, expressed in kelvin;
- A_eff is the required effective discharge area, expressed in square centimetres;
- ' is the superscript used to denote the use of the units of measure as indicated for the pressure and area above (the original derivation having used pascals for pressure and square metres for area, respectively).

D.7 Derived expressions for USC units

For USC units, the following values and/or units are used, where "standard" conditions refer to 60 °F and 14,696 psia:

$$x = 379{,}46\ \frac{\text{SCF}}{\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}}$$

$$R_\text{g} = 1\ 545{,}0\ \frac{\text{lbf}/\text{ft}^2\cdot\text{ft}^3}{(\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol})\cdot\text{R}}$$

$$g_\text{c} = 32{,}174\ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{s}^2}\cdot\frac{\text{lbm}}{\text{lbf}}$$

where g_c is the gravitational constant.

$$q = 379{,}46\ \frac{\text{SCF}}{\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol}}\cdot\sqrt{\frac{2\cdot 32{,}174\ \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{s}^2}\cdot\frac{\text{lbm}}{\text{lbf}}}{1\ 545{,}0\ \frac{\text{ft}\cdot\text{lbf}}{(\text{lb}\cdot\text{mol})\cdot\text{R}}}}\cdot p_\text{i}\cdot A_\text{eff}\cdot\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M\cdot Z_\text{i}\cdot T_\text{i}}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\cdot\left[\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}-\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \quad \text{(D.28)}$$

$$q = \frac{3600\ \text{s}}{1\,\text{h}}\cdot 77{,}440\ 7\cdot p_\text{i}\cdot A_\text{eff}\cdot\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M\cdot Z_\text{i}\cdot T_\text{i}}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\cdot\left[\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}-\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \quad \text{(D.29)}$$

$$q = 278790\cdot p_\text{i}\cdot A_\text{eff}\cdot\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{M\cdot Z_\text{i}\cdot T_\text{i}}\right)\cdot\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\cdot\left[\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}}-\left(\frac{p_\text{o}}{p_\text{i}}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right]} \quad \text{(D.30)}$$

where

q is the equivalent volumetric flow rate at standard conditions, expressed in standard cubic feet per hour;

p is the absolute pressure, expressed in pounds force per square inch;

T is the temperature, expressed in degrees Rankine;

A_eff is the required effective discharge area, expressed in square inches.

D.8 Conversion between normal and standard reference conditions

The sizing equations in Clause D.3 are expressed in the equivalent volumetric flow of free air; therefore, the temperature correction factor as indicated in Clause D.10 can be used to convert between normal and standard reference conditions.

D.9 Expressing relief requirements in terms of equivalent air flow

D.9.1 General

D.9.1.1 An alternative to the coefficient-of-discharge method for establishing the capacity of the venting device as described in this International Standard is based on actual flow testing. The results of the flow testing are typically expressed in equivalent free air flow units as a function of inlet pressure; therefore, a means for converting actual relieving requirements into equivalent air flow is required to facilitate direct comparison of the relief requirements to the venting device tested capacity.

The intent is to find an equivalent volumetric flow of air at standard or normal conditions that requires the same effective discharge area as the required relief rate determined for the actual fluid conditions.

The primary assumption inherent in this approach is that the correction factors for deviations from ideal nozzle flow, such as the coefficient of discharge, are constant.

D.9.1.2 The general nozzle-flow equation as derived in Equation (D.18) is used as the starting point for the vent sizing for actual relief requirements, W, as given in Equation (D.31):

$$W = A_{\text{eff}} \cdot p_{\text{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_{\text{g}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_{\text{i}} \cdot T_{\text{i}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/n} - r^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)} \qquad \text{(D.31)}$$

D.9.1.3 The same expression specifically for air at standard or normal temperature is shown as Equation (D.32), where the compressibility factor is 1 and the isentropic expansion coefficient is estimated by means of the ideal gas specific heat ratio, k, since air behaves ideally at standard or normal conditions:

$$W_{\text{air}} = A_{\text{eff}} \cdot p_{\text{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_{\text{g}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/k} - r^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right)} \qquad \text{(D.32)}$$

D.9.1.4 Solving both Equations (D.30) and (D.31) for the required venting area, setting them equal to one another (since the intent is to have an equivalent relief area) and solving for the mass flow rate, W_{air}, of air yields Equation (D.33), which can be simplified to Equation (D.34):

$$W_{\text{air}} = W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \frac{p_{\text{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_{\text{g}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/k} - r^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right)}}{p_{\text{i}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{R_{\text{g}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_{\text{i}} \cdot T_{\text{i}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/n} - r^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)}} \qquad \text{(D.33)}$$

$$W_{\text{air}} = W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/k} - r^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_{\text{i}} \cdot T_{\text{i}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/n} - r^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)}} \qquad \text{(D.34)}$$

D.9.1.5 The mass flow of air can be converted to a volumetric flow, q_{air}, of air at standard or normal conditions as given by Equation (D.35):

$$q_{\text{air}} = \frac{x}{M_{\text{air}}} \cdot W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/k} - r^{\frac{k+1}{k}}\right)}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{M}{Z_{\text{i}} \cdot T_{\text{i}}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right) \cdot \left(r^{2/n} - r^{\frac{n+1}{n}}\right)}} \tag{D.35}$$

D.9.1.6 Equations (D.34) and (D.35) can be simplified further, as given in Equations (D.36) and (D.37), respectively, with the following assumptions.

a) The isentropic expansion coefficient for the actual relief fluid is equal to the ideal gas specific heat ratio for air.

b) The ratio of the throat pressure to the relieving pressure is equivalent between the two fluids. This is an acceptable assumption for cases of sub-critical flow where the throat pressure is equal to atmospheric pressure, but it might not be an acceptable assumption for vents with discharge piping or for elevated relieving pressures.

c) The compressibility of the actual relief fluid is equal to 1,0.

$$W_{\text{air}} = W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{i}}}{M}} \tag{D.36}$$

$$q_{\text{air}} = \frac{x}{M_{\text{air}}} \cdot W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{i}}}{M}} \tag{D.37}$$

D.10 Conversion between normal and standard reference conditions

The conversion between normal and standard reference conditions for the derived Equation (D.37) used to express relief requirements in terms of equivalent volumetric flow is complicated by the means used to derive the expressions and the difference in temperatures at each reference condition. As a result, using the specific equations for either reference condition is preferred. If it is necessary to convert between the reference conditions in these cases, Equation (D.38) can be used:

$$\frac{q_{\text{normal}}}{q_{\text{standard}}} = \frac{\frac{x_{\text{normal}}}{M_{\text{air}}} \cdot W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{normal}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{i}}}{M}}}{\frac{x_{\text{standard}}}{M_{\text{air}}} \cdot W_{\text{fl}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{standard}}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{i}}}{M}}} = \frac{x_{\text{normal}}}{x_{\text{standard}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{standard}}}{T_{\text{normal}}}} \tag{D.38}$$

D.11 External fire relief requirements

D.11.1 General

D.11.1.1 Refer to Annex B for more information regarding the basis of the relief requirements for heat input due to external pool fire exposure.

Given the relief requirements, the vapour generation rate, W_{vap}, can be converted into an equivalent air flow as indicated in D.4.

The vapour mass generation rate due to heat input is determined by the following expression:

$$W_{\text{vap}} = \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{\text{eff}}} \left(\frac{v_{\text{g}} - v_{\text{l}}}{v_{\text{g}}} \right) \tag{D.39}$$

where

W_{vap} is the vapour mass generation rate, expressed in kilograms per second (pounds per hour);

Q is the heat input due to external fire exposure, expressed in watts (British thermal units per hour);

F is the environment factor, dimensionless;

L_{eff} is the effective heat of vapourization at the relieving conditions in the tank, expressed in joules per kilogram (British thermal units per pound);

v_{l} is the specific volume of the boiling liquid at the relief conditions in the tank, expressed in cubic metres per kilogram (cubic feet per pound);

v_{g} is the specific volume of the vapour generated at the relief conditions in the tank, expressed in cubic metres per kilogram (cubic feet per pound).

D.11.2 The specific volume of vapour is much greater than the specific volume of liquid for fluids far from the thermodynamic critical point (which is typical for low-pressure tanks operating near ambient pressures); therefore, the volumetric correction factor is very close to 1 and is typically ignored, giving the simplified form as shown in Equation (D.40):

$$W_{\text{vap}} = \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{\text{eff}}} \tag{D.40}$$

D.11.3 Equations (D.38) and (D.40) can be combined to yield Equation (D.41):

$$q_{\text{air}} = \left(\frac{x}{M_{\text{air}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M_{\text{air}}}{T_{\text{air}}}} \right) \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{\text{eff}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_{\text{i}}}{M}} \tag{D.41}$$

D.12 Derived expressions for SI units

For SI units, the following values and/or units are used, where “normal” conditions refer to 0 °C and 101,325 kPa.

$$x = 22{,}414 \ \frac{\text{Nm}^3}{\text{kmol}}$$

$$M_{\text{air}} = 29$$

$T_{air} = 273{,}15$ K

$$q_{air} = \left(\frac{22{,}414}{29} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{29}{273{,}15}} \right) \cdot \frac{3\,600 \text{ s}}{1 \text{ h}} \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{eff}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{M}} \tag{D.42}$$

$$q_{air} = 906{,}6 \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{eff}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{M}} \tag{D.43}$$

where q is calculated in equivalent normal cubic metres per hour of air.

D.13 Derived expressions for USC units

For USC units, the following values and/or units are used, where “standard” conditions refer to 60 °F and 14,696 psia:

$x = 379{,}46 \dfrac{\text{SCF}}{\text{lb} \cdot \text{mol}}$

$M_{air} = 29$

$T_{air} = 519{,}67$ °R

$$q_{air} = \left(\frac{379{,}46}{29} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{29}{519{,}67}} \right) \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{eff}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{M}} \tag{D.44}$$

$$q_{air} = 3{,}091 \cdot \frac{Q \cdot F}{L_{eff}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{T_i}{M}} \tag{D.45}$$

where q is calculated in equivalent standard cubic feet per hour of air.

Annex E
(informative)

Basis for normal out-breathing and normal inbreathing

E.1 Scope

This annex provides the boundary conditions that were established in developing the general method for calculating normal out-breathing and normal inbreathing as described in 4.3.2. This information is taken from Reference [21].

E.2 Boundary conditions and assumptions

The following boundary conditions and assumptions are used.

a) The tank is fully filled with vapour (no liquid is in the tank).

b) The heat flux (cooling) to the tank bottom is neglected.

c) Additional heat capacity of the tank other than wall are not considered.

d) Minimum wall thickness [as defined by DIN 4119 (all parts)] is assumed.

e) A minimum roof angle inclination of 15° for cone roofs is assumed.

f) The emission ratio for the wall radiation was conservatively based on data for dirty bronze aluminium paint ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 0,6$).

E.3 Approximations

The following approximations and assumptions are generally used to solve this complex problem.

a) The location-dependent temperature field of the tank wall and the tank atmosphere are defined by their average temperatures.

b) The dependence of the heat-transfer coefficient on the temperature difference is neglected; the alpha values are seen as constant.

c) The influence of the atmospheric pressure fluctuation is neglected as well as the fact that the vents start to relieve at a certain pressure differential.

E.4 Tank heating assumptions

Additional specific assumptions are made with regards to the heating of the tank, as follows.

a) The tank is filled only with air.

b) No liquid residue, which can evaporate during heat-up, is taken into account.

c) At the start, the environment, the tank wall and the tank contents are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium at a temperature of 15 °C.

d) Free thermal convection takes place at the inner and outer areas of the tank. The heat-transfer coefficient is equal to 2 W/(m^2·K).

e) The sun radiation starts at an expected maximum value and remains constant.

f) The ambient temperature is seen as constant until the maximum volume flow is reached.

E.5 Tank cooling assumptions

Additional specific assumptions are made towards the cooling of the tank, as follows.

a) The tank is filled only with air.

b) At the start, the tank atmosphere and the tank wall are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium at a temperature of 55 °C, independent of the tank construction and volume.

c) Cooling by rain starts immediately and the rain continues with unchanged characteristics. For determining the inbreathing volume flow the following data are assumed:

— rain flow density: 225 kg/m^2·h;

— rain angle: 30°;

— rain water temperature: 15 °C;

— heat-transfer coefficient (rain to ambient): 15 W/(m^2·K).

d) Free thermal convection takes place at the inner area of the tank [the heat-transfer coefficient (wall to inside) is equal to 5 W/(m^2·K)] and film cooling takes place at the outer area of the tank with a heat-transfer coefficient of 5 000 W/(m^2·K).

e) A possible drift towards the average temperature of the tank atmosphere resulting from a mixing with cold ambient air is neglected.

Annex F
(informative)

Guidance for inert-gas blanketing of tanks for flashback protection

F.1 General

This annex describes three tank inert-gas-blanketing design levels. All three levels provide comparable flashback protection. Level 1 has minimum inert-gas-blanketing requirements in combination with a specific flame-arrester classification. Level 2 has more stringent inert-gas-blanketing requirements with a different flame-arrester classification. Level 3 has the highest inert-gas-blanketing requirements with no flame arrester.

F.2 Tank inbreathing

Tank inbreathing due to changes in weather and emptying of the tank is performed with inert gas. For the inert-gas supply, minimum values of available inert-gas volume flow, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and the volume of reserve inert gas, V_{I}, are required. These rates shall be specified on the basis of a calculation of the maximum flow rates of normal out-breathing and inbreathing in accordance with 4.3.2, with a three-level classification being provided in conjunction with safety and monitoring devices. To determine the amount of reserve inert gas, the volume of the applicable parts in the piping system (i.e. up to the air separation unit) should be considered.

Calculate the required flow rates and volumes for the three inert-gas levels as follows.

a) For inert-gas-blanketing level 1, calculate the required flow rate, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$, expressed in cubic metres per hour, as given in Equation (F.1) and V_{I}, expressed in cubic metres, as given in Equation (F.2):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}} = 0{,}1C \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}}^{0{,}7} + \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}} \qquad \text{(F.1)}$$

where

C is a factor that depends on vapour pressure, average storage temperature and latitude (see Table 2);

V_{tk} is the tank volume;

$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ is the maximum rate of liquid discharge.

$$V_{\mathrm{I}} = 0{,}04 \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}} \qquad \text{(F.2)}$$

The inert-gas supply shall be monitored (i.e. measuring the tank pressure and measuring the oxygen concentration). An alarm shall be triggered when the set pressure of the vacuum vent is reached. At this level of inert-gas blanketing, the inside of the tank can be classified as zone 1 in accordance with IEC 60079-10. An end-of-line flame arrester that has been tested for atmospheric deflagration and endurance burning for IEC explosion group IIA (NEC Group D) vapours shall be installed.

b) For inert-gas-blanketing level 2, calculate the required flow rate, $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$, expressed in cubic metres per hour, as given in Equation (F.3) and V_{I}, expressed in cubic metres, as given in Equation (F.4):

$$\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}} = 0{,}2C \cdot V_{\mathrm{tk}}^{0{,}7} + \dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}} \qquad \text{(F.3)}$$

$$V_{\text{I}} = 0{,}08 \cdot V_{\text{tk}} \tag{F.4}$$

where the symbols are the same as for Equation (F.1).

The alarm specified under inert-gas stage 1 shall activate the shutdown of the liquid outflow. At this level of inert-gas blanketing, the inside of the tank can be classified as zone 2 in accordance with IEC 60079-10. An end-of-line flame arrester that has been tested for atmospheric deflagration for IEC explosion group IIA (NEC Group D) vapours shall be installed.

c) For inert-gas-blanketing level 3, calculate the required flow rate, $\dot{V}_{\text{I}}$, expressed in cubic metres per hour, as given in Equation (F.5) and V_{I}, expressed in cubic metres, as given in Equation (F.6):

$$\dot{V}_{\text{I}} = 0{,}5C \cdot V_{\text{tk}}^{0{,}7} + \dot{V}_{\text{pe}} \tag{F.5}$$

$$V_{\text{I}} = 0{,}12 \cdot V_{\text{tk}} \tag{F.6}$$

where the symbols are the same as for Equation (F.1).

The tank pressure shall be kept above atmospheric pressure and the monitoring system shall have redundancy in the design. The inert-gas supply shall be kept above the tank pressure and, in particular, the required flow rate of $\dot{V}_{\text{I}}$ shall be achieved with a tank pressure at least equal to the atmospheric pressure. The trip pressure at which the liquid outflow shuts down shall be set above atmospheric pressure. Alarms shall be triggered at the trip pressure (see Figure F.1). At this level of nitrogen blanketing, the inside of the tank can be classified as zone 2 in accordance with IEC 60079-10. At this level of inert-gas blanketing, no additional protection against flame propagation from the outside to the inside of the tank is required.



a Add nitrogen at this point.

b Pump shuts down.

c p_{atm} is the atmospheric pressure.

Figure F.1 — Trip pressure diagram for nitrogen blanketing

F.3 Common inert-gas supply

Where several tanks share a common inert-gas supply, the inert-gas requirement is calculated by aggregating the individual flow rate amounts as $\sum_j \dot{V}_{\mathrm{I},j}$ and aggregating the individual volumes as $\sum_j V_{\mathrm{I},j}$

If several tanks with common inert-gas supply are divided so that no single tank has a capacity exceeding 20 % of the total capacity of all tanks, the calculated values may be reduced by 50 %.

The expected normal consumption shall be taken into account in determining the overall capability of inert-gas delivery.

F.4 Interconnected vapour spaces

For tank-breathing systems where at least five tanks have their vapour spaces interconnected, it is not necessary to consider the pumping out, i.e. $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{pe}}$ may be ignored in Equations (F.1), (F.3) and (F.5) when calculating $\dot{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$.

F.5 Location of vacuum vent

The vacuum vent should be located as close as practical to the inert-gas supply connection to the tank in order to minimize the oxygen concentration at the location where ambient air can enter the tank.

Bibliography

[1] EN 1127-1: *Explosive atmospheres — Explosion prevention and protection — Part 1: Basic concepts and methodology*

[2] EN 12874, *Flame arresters — Performance requirements, test methods and limits for use*

[3] EN 13616, *Overfill prevention devices for static tanks for liquid petroleum fuels*

[4] EN 14015, *Specification for the design and manufacture of site built, vertical, cylindrical, flat-bottomed, above ground, welded, steel tanks for the storage of liquids at ambient temperature and above*

[5] API RP 520[2)], *Sizing, Selection, and Installation of Pressure-Relieving Devices in Refineries — Part I: Sizing and Selection*

[6] API 521, *Guide for Pressure-Relieving and Depressuring Systems*

[7] API RP 576, *Inspection of Pressure-Relieving Devices*

[8] API Std 650:2007, *Welded Steel Tanks for Oil Storage*, 11th edition

[9] API RP 2210, *Flame Arresters for Vents of Tanks Storing Petroleum Products*

[10] API RP 2350, *Overfill Protection for Petroleum Storage Tanks*

[11] API Std 2510, *Design and construction of liquefied petroleum gas installations (LPG)*

[12] API 2521, *Use of Pressure-Vacuum Vent Valves for Atmospheric Loss*

[13] ASME PTC 25[3)], *Pressure Relief Devices*

[14] NFPA 30, *Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code*

[15] NFPA 59A, *Standard for the Production, Storage, and Handling of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG)*

[16] NFPA 69, *Explosion Prevention Systems*

[17] TRbF 20[4)], *Technische Regeln fuer brennbare Fluessigkeiten, Laeger*

[18] UL 525[5)], *Flame arresters*

[19] USCG 33 CFR 154[6)], *Facilities transferring oil or hazardous material in bulk*

[20] KLETZ, T.A., *What went wrong? Case studies of process plant disasters,* ISBN 0-88415-027-5

---

2) American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20005-4070, USA.

3) American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990, USA.

4) Carl Heymanns Verlag KG, Luxemburger Strasse 449, 50939 Koeln, Germany.

5) Underwriters Laboratories Inc., 333 Pfingsten Road, Northbrook, IL 60062-2096, USA

6) U.S. Government Printing Office, 732 N. Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20401, USA.

[21] FOERSTER, H., SCHAMPEL, K., STEEN, H., Atmungsvorgänge infolge von Witterungseinflüssen an Lagertanks für brennbare Flüssigkeiten, *Physikalisch Technische Bundesanstalt* (*PTB*), Bericht W-22

[22] GOßLAU, W., MÜLLER, E., WEYL, R., *Tanklagertechnik — Festigkeit und Beatmung, Mitteilung aus der BASF-Eigenüberwachung*, Ludwigshafen/Rhein, Sonderdruck aus TÜ 01/1985 und 05 – 09/1985

[23] HEIDERMANN, T., *Flame Transmission Test with P/V Valves — Atmospheric deflagration and burning tests*, PROTEGO Test report VB 03/1114-1, 2007

[24] EN 13237[7)], *Potentially explosive atmospheres — Terms and definitions for equipment and protective systems intended for use in potentially explosive atmospheres*

[25] NFPA 68[8)], *Standard on Explosion Protection by Deflagration Venting*

[26] FM 6061, *FMRC Test Procedure for flame arresters for storage tank vent pipes, Class 6061, May 1998*

[27] EN 1473, *Installation and equipment for liquefied natural gas — Design of onshore installations*

[28] API RP 500, *Recommended Practice for Classification of Locations for Electrical Installations at Petroleum Facilities Classified as Class I, Division I and Division 2*

[29] API 2000, First Edition, *Venting Atmospheric and Low-Pressure Storage Tanks; Non-refrigerated and Refrigerated*

[30] EN 14620 (all parts), *Design and manufacture of site built, vertical, cylindrical, flat-bottomed steel tanks for the storage of refrigerated, liquified gases with operating temperatures between 0 °C and − 165 °C*

7) Comité Européen de Normalisation, 36, rue de Stassart, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium.

8) National Fire Protection Association, 1 Batterymarch Park, Quincy, MA 02269-9101, USA.

energy API®

2009 Publications Order Form

Effective January 1, 2009.
API Members receive a 30% discount where applicable.
The member discount does not apply to purchases made for the purpose of resale or for incorporation into commercial products, training courses, workshops, or other commercial enterprises.

Available through IHS:
Phone Orders: 1-800-854-7179 (Toll-free in the U.S. and Canada)
303-397-7956 (Local and International)
Fax Orders: 303-397-2740
Online Orders: global.ihs.com

Date: ____________________ ☐ **API Member** (Check if Yes)

Invoice To (☐ Check here if same as "Ship To")
Name:
Title:
Company:
Department:
Address:

City: State/Province:
Zip/Postal Code: Country:
Telephone:
Fax:
Email:

Ship To (UPS will not deliver to a P.O. Box)
Name:
Title:
Company:
Department:
Address:

City: State/Province:
Zip/Postal Code: Country:
Telephone:
Fax:
Email:

Quantity	Title	SO★	Unit Price	Total
	RP 520, Sizing, Selection, and Installation of Pressure-Relieving Devices in Refineries--Part 1, Sizing and Selection		$241.00	
	RP 520, Sizing, Selection, and Installation of Pressure-Relieving Devices in Refineries, Part II, "Installation"		$166.00	
	Std 521, Guide for Pressure-relieving and Depressuring Systems		$212.00	
	Std 526,Flanged Steel Pressure-relief Valves		$151.00	
	Std 527, Seat Tightness of Pressure Relief Valves		$63.00	

☐ Payment Enclosed ☐ P.O. No. (Enclose Copy) ____________

☐ Charge My IHS Account No. ____________

☐ VISA ☐ MasterCard ☐ American Express
☐ Diners Club ☐ Discover

Credit Card No.:
Print Name (As It Appears on Card):
Expiration Date:
Signature:

Subtotal	
Applicable Sales Tax (see below)	
Rush Shipping Fee (see below)	
Shipping and Handling (see below)	
Total (in U.S. Dollars)	

★ To be placed on Standing Order for future editions of this publication, place a check mark in the SO column and sign here:

Pricing and availability subject to change without notice.

Mail Orders – Payment by check or money order in U.S. dollars is required except for established accounts. State and local taxes, $10 processing fee, and 5% shipping must be added. Send mail orders to: **API Publications, IHS, 15 Inverness Way East, c/o Retail Sales, Englewood, CO 80112-5776, USA.**

Purchase Orders – Purchase orders are accepted from established accounts. Invoice will include actual freight cost, a $10 processing fee, plus state and local taxes.

Telephone Orders – If ordering by telephone, a $10 processing fee and actual freight costs will be added to the order.

Sales Tax – All U.S. purchases must include applicable state and local sales tax. Customers claiming tax-exempt status must provide IHS with a copy of their exemption certificate.

Shipping (U.S. Orders) – Orders shipped within the U.S. are sent via traceable means. Most orders are shipped the same day. Subscription updates are sent by First-Class Mail. Other options, including next-day service, air service, and fax transmission are available at additional cost. Call 1-800-854-7179 for more information.

Shipping (International Orders) – Standard international shipping is by air express courier service. Subscription updates are sent by World Mail. Normal delivery is 3-4 days from shipping date.

Rush Shipping Fee – Next Day Delivery orders charge is $20 in addition to the carrier charges. Next Day Delivery orders must be placed by 2:00 p.m. MST to ensure overnight delivery.

Returns – All returns must be pre-approved by calling the IHS Customer Service Department at 1-800-624-3974 for information and assistance. There may be a 15% restocking fee. Special order items, electronic documents, and age-dated materials are non-returnable.

1220 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-4070
USA

202.682.8000

Additional copies are available through IHS
Phone Orders: 1-800-854-7179 (Toll-free in the U.S. and Canada)
303-397-7956 (Local and International)
Fax Orders: 303-397-2740
Online Orders: global.ihs.com

Information about API Publications, Programs and Services
is available on the web at www.api.org

Product No. CX20006